



Journal of Technology in Human Services

ISSN: 1522-8835 (Print) 1522-8991 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/wths20

A Comprehensive Review and a Meta-Analysis of the Effectiveness of Internet-Based **Psychotherapeutic Interventions**

Azy Barak , Liat Hen , Meyran Boniel-Nissim & Na'ama Shapira

To cite this article: Azy Barak, Liat Hen, Meyran Boniel-Nissim & Na'ama Shapira (2008) A Comprehensive Review and a Meta-Analysis of the Effectiveness of Internet-Based Psychotherapeutic Interventions, Journal of Technology in Human Services, 26:2-4, 109-160, DOI: 10.1080/15228830802094429

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15228830802094429</u>



Published online: 08 Sep 2008.

|--|

Submit your article to this journal 🖸



View related articles



Citing articles: 570 View citing articles 🗹

A Comprehensive Review and a Meta-Analysis of the Effectiveness of Internet-Based Psychotherapeutic Interventions

Azy Barak Liat Hen Meyran Boniel-Nissim Na'ama Shapira

ABSTRACT. Internet-based psychotherapeutic interventions have been used for more than a decade, but no comprehensive review and no extensive meta-analysis of their effectiveness have been conducted. We have collected all of the empirical articles published up to March 2006 (n = 64) that examine the effectiveness of online therapy of different forms and performed a meta-analysis of all the studies reported in them (n = 92). These studies involved a total of 9,764 clients who were treated through various Internet-based psychological interventions for a variety of problems, whose effectiveness was assessed by different types of measures. The overall mean weighted effect size was found to be 0.53 (medium effect), which is quite similar to the average effect size of traditional, faceto-face therapy. Next, we examined interacting effects of various

Journal of Technology in Human Services, Vol. 26(2/4) 2008 Available online at http://jths.haworthpress.com © 2008 by The Haworth Press. All rights reserved. doi: 10.1080/15228830802094429

Azy Barak, Liat Hen, Meyran Boniel-Nissim, and Na'ama Shapira, Faculty of Education, University of Haifa, Aba Hushi Rd., Mount Carmel, Haifa, Israel.

We are indebted to Ronnen Fluss for his assistance in analyzing the data. Address correspondence to Azy Barak, Faculty of Education, University of Haifa, Aba Hushi Rd., Mount Carmel, Haifa 31905, Israel. E-mail: azy@construct.haifa.ac.il.

possible relevant moderators of the effects of online therapy, including type of therapy (self-help web-based therapy versus online communication-based etherapy), type of outcome measure, time of measurement of outcome (post-therapy or follow-up), type of problem treated, therapeutic approach, and communication modality, among others. A comparison between face-to-face and Internet intervention as reported on in 14 of the studies revealed no differences in effectiveness. The findings of this meta-analysis, and review of additional Internet therapy studies not included in the meta-analysis, provide strong support for the adoption of online psychological interventions as a legitimate therapeutic activity and suggest several insights in regard to its application. Limitations of the findings and recommendations concerning Internet-based therapy and future research are discussed.

KEYWORDS. Internet, Internet intervention, meta-analysis, online therapy, psychotherapy

The Internet has been used for psychotherapeutic interventions for more than a decade. Various terms have been used to denote this special professional activity: etherapy (or counseling), online therapy, Internet therapy, and cybertherapy, and sometimes it is referred to as e-health or telehealth, as a part of more general activities. Although attempts have been made to associate specific terms with more focused activities (e.g., cybertherapy for the use of virtual reality software), this terminology has failed in practice, and professionals and laypersons alike normally use different terms interchangeably. There are, however, several major factors that differentiate among the different therapeutic applications conducted by means of the Internet. One of these has to do with the online-intervention method employed-whether it includes human communication (termed here etherapy) or is a self-help, website-based therapy (termed here web-based therapy). A different major factor has to do with another Internet-enabled capacity-whether an intervention is delivered in "real-time" (synchronously) or is delayed (asynchronously). A third important factor has to do with mode of communication—whether conducted textually, by audio only, or by video (webcam). Other important differentiations have to do with individual versus group mode and therapeutic approach, terms normally associated with traditional, face-to-face therapies.

From its start, Internet therapy has been criticized and opposed by both many laypeople (e.g., Skinner & Latchford, 2006) and professionals (e.g., Lester, 2006; Wells, Mitchell, Finkelhor, & Becker-Blease, 2007) on several grounds. First, the lack of face-toface visibility—which prevents the transmission and detection of a client's nonverbal communication cues, on the one hand, and the use of a therapist's body language, on the other-created massive resistance. This opposition based on the lack of nonverbal communication was considered an essential component of therapeutic relationships. Second, ethical issues-relating to secrecy and confidentiality, identity of patients and therapists, impersonations, handling of emergency situations, and more-became a central problem with the application of computer-mediated, distance therapy. Third, contemporary laws and regulations did not always cover various situations created by online therapy, such as local licensing requirements, legal jurisdiction, professional insurance of negligence, and more, resulting in often unresolved legal issues. Fourth, practical and technical concerns led to arguments related to the training of online therapists, the dependency on electricity and on complicated, fragile technologies, as well as to worries about the digital divide, and more. All of these criticisms, though they still exist, have been answered to a great degree as the field developed, numerous professionals have connected, literally and figuratively, to this new channel of therapy, advanced technologies emerged, ethical codes were developed, training courses and workshops began to be offered, and so on (Chester & Glass, 2006; Grohol, 2004). Not least, many clients seemed to like this innovative therapeutic option (King et al., 2006).

In the attempt to respond to the questions and critiques posed by the opponents of online therapy, quite a few therapeutic *process studies* were conducted that generally tried to examine the special characteristics of the therapeutic dynamics created in distant, invisible, interpersonal circumstances. The findings of those investigations—obviously concentrating in etherapy of different forms—often showed that therapy processes online are, in many ways, similar to the traditional form of therapy, though they possess some unique features as well, which were identified. Cook and Doyle (2002), for example, found that clients of e-mail- or chat-based therapy rated therapeutic working alliance similar and even superior to that of face-to-face therapy. In an analogue study, Mallen, Day, and Green (2003), however, found higher ratings of disclosure, closeness, and satisfaction with the face-to-face therapy experience than with that online, though no difference in emotional understanding was detected between the two interaction modes. Escoffery, McCormick, and Bateman (2004) reported on the process development of goal-setting, consciousness growing, and satisfaction of clients using web-based therapy for smoking cessation. Lewis, Coursol, and Herting (2004) studied client and counselor experiences in videoconferencing-based counseling in a qualitative analysis of a case study. They were able to qualify interesting themes in counselor's and client's experiences, and noted the client's positive feelings. In an analogue study, Rochlen, Land, and Wong (2004) found that men with high emotionality preferred online counseling over face-to-face counseling more than did men with low or restricted emotionality. Bickmore, Gruber, and Picard (2005) showed that bond and working alliance may be achieved even when working with an automated software agent. In an observational analogue study, Rees and Stone (2005) saw that clinicians rated working alliance in videoconferencingbased therapy lower than in traditional, face-to-face sessions. Young (2005) investigated the attitudes of clients treated through online chat groups; although convenience and anonymity were cited as favorable factors, privacy and security concerns were listed against its use. Barak and Bloch (2006) found that session-impact factors in chat-based therapy were related to the perceived helpfulness of sessions. Leibert, Archer, Munson, and York (2006) studied clients' working alliance in, and satisfaction with, e-mail- and chat-based counseling; both were rated inferior to face-to-face experiences. Reynolds, Stiles, and Grohol (2006) found session-impact factors and therapeutic alliance in e-mailbased therapy to be similar to face-to-face therapy for both therapists and clients. According to Ritterband et al. (2006), the use of audio, graphics, and interactivity in website-based treatment of encopresis with children contributed to their elevated knowledge, motivation, and readiness to change. Thus, generally speaking, these studies show that counseling and psychotherapy relationships can effectively take place under the special circumstances enabled by the Internet as far as major therapeutic processes are concerned.

The main questions consistently asked throughout these studies and through numerous other publications have been whether therapy practiced online was effective, whether therapy could be conducted effectively (i.e., achieve its therapeutic goals) through the Internet, whether it was as effective as traditional therapy, and how various

Barak et al.

methods and variables associated with online therapy affected its effectiveness. Although quite a few individual studies on treating a variety of psychological problems have been conducted to date and numerous case studies have been published and presented, a comprehensive review and examination of these questions are still lacking.

Several attempts were made to provide an inclusive review on the effectiveness of online psychological interventions. These attempts, however, offered a rather limited view of the question at hand, mainly because of their partial inclusion of the published research (e.g., Anthony, 2006; Ritterband et al., 2003; Tate & Zabinski, 2004; Ybarra, Eaton, & Bickman, 2005); stenographic, encyclopedic-style summary (Barak, 2004); emphasis on history and development rather than effectiveness (e.g., Skinner & Zack, 2004); concentration on a specific problem area, such as anxiety (Andersson, Bergström, Carlbring, & Lindefors, 2005), depression (Andersson, 2006), panic disorder (Carlbring & Andersson, 2006), smoking cessation (Etter, 2006; Walters, Wright, & Shegog, 2006), weight loss (Weinstein, 2006), health-related problems (Strecher, 2007), or problem drinking (Walters, Miller, & Chiauzzi, 2005); concentration on web-based interventions only (Andersson, 2006; Griffiths & Christensen, 2006; Pull, 2006); focus on video-based therapy (Simpson, 2003); or their mixing together therapy and support (Mallen, Vogel, Rochlen, & Day, 2005). Although the general conclusion of these reviews, as well as several others, was highly supportive of Internet therapy, it seems that reliance on these resources is insufficient because their surveys of the literature are in effect incomplete or narrow. In addition, none of these reviews made an attempt to examine interactions of relevant moderators (e.g., age of clients, therapeutic approach) with therapy outcome. It should be noted that several books focusing on etherapy and e-counseling (e.g., Derrig-Palumbo & Zeine, 2005; Hsiung, 2002; Kraus, Zack, & Stricker, 2004; Tyler & Sabella, 2003) also provided a general and partial review of research, as well as numerous case examples, but did not provide a thorough and comprehensive view of the area.

Three meta-analytic reviews that are relevant in part to our current research questions were conducted. Wantland, Portillo, Holzemer, Slaughter, and McGhee (2004) conducted a meta-analysis of 22 web-based versus non-web-based psychological interventions intended to educate and create behavioral change in people with chronic illness. They found a large variability in effect size (*ES*), ranging from -0.01 to +0.75, which averaged out to a moderate mean *ES*.

In another meta-analytic review, Spek et al. (2007) examined 12 studies that tested the effectiveness of web-based cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) for depression and anxiety. They found a small-tomoderate *ES* for the treatment of depression and a large *ES* for the treatment of anxiety. Provision of therapist support (provided online) moderated these findings, as therapist support resulted in large effects and no such support resulted in small effects. These two metaanalyses referred only to web-based interventions in specific problem areas. Hirai and Clum (2006b) conducted a meta-analysis of the effectiveness of various self-help venues in helping people with anxiety problems, including computer and Internet self-help interventions among other methods (e.g., printed materials, videotapes). They found that computer- and Internet-based self-help interventions appeared, for the most part, to yield equally effective treatment outcomes as the other self-help interventions.

The aim of the current meta-analytic study was to provide fuller, more comprehensive answers to questions relating to the effectiveness of online psychological interventions. Our research was meant to cover a broad data set that referred to a variety of online technological methods, intervention settings, psychological approaches, problem areas, and other features that exist in the provision of psychotherapy through the Internet. The purpose was to examine the effectiveness of online interventions in general, and specifically in quantitative empirical studies and in the impact of moderators that interact with therapy outcome.

METHOD

Data Collection

We searched and collected all published studies relevant to our meta-analysis. The studies we used met these criteria: (1) they were published in a refereed journal in English at any time until March 2006 (inclusive); (2) they empirically studied the effectiveness of psychological treatments conducted through online channel(s) of communication (that is, Internet-delivered therapy); (3) the intervention was based on the actual implementation of a psychological intervention (rather than just the provision of online support or an online assessment); (4) the study contained more than five participants

114

receiving online treatment; (5) treatment effectiveness was based on at least pre-post quantitative comparisons; (6) effectiveness of treatment was based on at least one actual outcome measure.

The search for studies was conducted by using the PsycINFO and MEDLINE databases as well as the Google Scholar and Scopus online scientific search engines. In addition, we checked the bibliographies of numerous articles to detect possible missing items. Except for a single article, all of the studies included in our research had been published up to and in March 2006; in the single exception, the study was made public by being posted on a publisher's website (it came out in print shortly thereafter).

In total, we collected 69 articles that met the inclusion criteria. Additional 47 articles that examined the effectiveness of online therapy were rejected because they had either insufficient data enabling the calculation of ES, used qualitative and descriptive approaches (usually through case studies), were based on mere literature reviews, or lacked major details in regard to the nature of the therapy or the research design employed. In two cases, in which specific information was missing (i.e., unclear treatment for a comparison group, missing information about participants), the authors of the studies were contacted to complete the information. Furthermore, we found that the results reported in 5 of the 69 usable articles had been duplicated and published in other articles in this set: therefore, the information gathered from these studies were included only once in the analysis. The final data set, therefore, contained 64 articles. Most of them reported a single study; several articles, however, described two or more (up to four) studies, each of which differed by gender of patients (e.g., Christensen, Griffiths, Korten, Brittliffe, & Groves, 2004), intervention method (e.g., Carlbring, Ekselius, & Andersson, 2003), or another factor. In sum, the 64 articles reported on 92 independent studies (based on different patients) of various online interventions that were aimed at treating patients who suffered from a psychological problem or distress. This collection, then, contains the final data set for our meta-analysis. (The first author may be contacted for a list of keywords used in searching for articles and for a list of articles rejected from the data set used for the meta-analysis.)

In all, the 92 studies examined 11,922 participants, 9,764 of whom received some form of psychological intervention online. The number of patients in each study ranged from 6 to 2,341 (mean = 106; median = 28). Table 1 presents a summary of the basic characteristics

Author(s)	Problem Area	Online Channel & Format	N	Therapeutic Approach	Age & Type of Patients	Research Design	Outcome Measures	Findings	ES^1 (CI^2)
Andersson et al. (2005)	Depression	Website and forum	æ	СВТ	Adults	RCT, compared to WL CG	SR of depression, anxiety, and quality of life	TG improved in all measures while no change in CG. Change maintained in 6-month follow-Lu	.67 (±.43)
Andersson, Lundström, & Ström (2003)	Recurrent headache	1. Website and e-mail	(1) 13	СВТ	Adults	RCT, comparison of 2 TGs	Headache diary and SR of stress, anxiety, and depression	No change in headaches in both TGs; improvement in SR outcomes in both TGs	.23 (±.77)
		 Web-based and telephone support 	(2) 17						.18 (土.67)
Andersson, Strömgren, Ström, & Lyttkens (2002)	Tinnitus	Website and e-mail support	24	СВТ	Adults	RCT, compared to WL CG	SR of tinnitus annoyance, anxiety, and depression	Reduction in tinnitus annoyance and improved psychological measures	.32 (±.49)
Bruning Brown, Winzelberg, Abascal, & Taylor (2004)	Eating disorders	Website and forum	(1) 102 kids	뷥	Adolescents and parents	TG vs. no- treatment comparison group	SR of eating disorders- related scales, knowledge test, and parents' attitudes	Improvement in all measures of TG compared to following treatment but not at 1-year follow-up. Parents attitudes' positively channed	.08 (主.34)
			(2) 22 parents	6					.57 (±.51)

TABLE 1. Articles Included in the Meta-analysis

116

.04 (±.55)	. 44 (±.83)	.71 (±.83)	.98 (±.54)	.81 (±.55)	.84 (±.61)	.27 (±.73)
TG improved in some measures, attitudes, and attitudes, and ability to decrease pain but not in others. Some effects maintained at 3-month follow-up	TG improved in most measures, but was a little inferior to the improvement of the CG		TG improved in all measures at posttreatment, and maintained or further improved at 6-month follow-up	Both treatment groups improved in all measures. Effects maintained at 1-year follow- up	Positive effects in TG in all outcome measures while no change in CG	Improvement pre- to posttreatment in all measures. Results maintained and continued to improve at 6-month follow-up
SR of level of pain, depression, and anxiety	SR of agoraphobia, anxiety, depression, and quality of life; diary of attacks		SR of social anxiety, general anxiety, depression, and quality of life	SR of agoraphobia, anxiety, depression, and quality of life	SR of panic attacks and anxiety	SR of body and eating concerns and attitudes
RCT, compared to WL CG	RCT, compared to applied relaxation treatment		RCT, compared to WL CG	RCT, compared to FTF treatment	RCT, TG compared to WL CG	RCT, compared to FTF treatment and to WL CG
Adults	Adults		Adults	Adults	Adults	Female adults
CBT	(1) CBT	(2) Applied relaxation	СВТ	СВТ	СВТ	뷥
NN	(1) 11	(2) 11	26	25	20	24
Website and telephone support	Website and e-mail		Website and e-mail	Website and e-mail	Website and e-mail	Website and forum
Chronic back pain	Panic disorder		Social phobia	Panic disorder	Panic disorder	Body dissatisfaction
Buhrman et al. (2004)	Carlbring et al. (2003)		Cartbring, Furmark, Steczkó, Ekselius, & Andersson (2006)	Carlbring et al. (2005)	Carlbring et al. (2001)	Celio et al. (2000)

117

(Continued)

Author(s)	Problem Area	Online Channel & Format	Z	Therapeutic Approach	Age & Type of Patients	Research Design	Outcome Measures	Findings	ES ¹ (CI ²)
Chiauzzi, Green, Lord, Thum, 8 Goldstein (2005)	Binge drinking	Website	(1) 42	(1) CBT M	Adults	RCT, TG vs. WL CG (CG instructed to read online articles on consequences of heavy drinking)	SR of attitudes toward drinking, and drinking behaviors	Reduction of drinking and improved attitudes; effects continued and improved in 3-month follow-up	.61 (±.43)
			(2) 63 (3) 50 (4) 60	(2) CBT F (3) PE M (4) PE F					.48 (±.35) .82 (±.39) .51 (±.36)
Christensen, Griffiths, & Jorm (2004)	Depression	Website	(1) 165	(1) PE	Adults	RCT, 2 TGs vs. WL CG	SR of depression and knowledge of treatment	Both treatments effective in reducing depression symptoms	.66 (±.21)
			(2) 182	(2) CBT				-	.44 (±.21)
Christensen, Griffiths, & Korten (2002)	Depression and anxiety	Website	(1) 30	CBT (1) M	Adults	Pre-post and follow-up comparisons	SR of depression and anxiety	Reduction of depression and anxiety at each measurement point	.30 (土.51)
			(2) 48	(2) F				- -	.45 (土.39)
Christensen et al. (2004)	Depression and anxiety	Website	(1) 102	CBT (1) F	Adults	Compared 2 TGs: experimental trial vs. use of	SR of depression and anxiety	Reduction of depression and anxiety of both	1.03 (土.27)
			(2) 36	(2) M		alla llado		groups	.84 (主.46)

TABLE 1. Articles Included in the Meta-analysis (continued)

.38 (土.31)		.53 (土.31)	.04 (±.23)			.86 (±.80)	.91 (土.53)		1.05 (±.54)	.36 (±.43)		.53 (±.57)				.86 (±.58)	(Continued)
Reduction in depression over	therapy period in comparison with CG. No difference in use of health services		No difference between	TG and CG in changing over the research	period	Anxiety decreased in both groups	Audio, video, and FTF were	similarly effective		TG (of 2 versions) effective over CG. Results	maintained in 2-month follow-up	TG improved in all measures while CG did not	change. Greater compliance of TG +hon CG with	medical	procedures		
SR of depression and utilization of	health services		SR of depression			SR of anxiety	SR of complaints and functioning			SR of headache symptoms, depression,	and anxiety	SR of depression, anxiety, and social	functioning of patients, SR of	caregivers			
RCT, TG compared	to no-treatment CG		RCT, TG compared	to no-treatment CG		TG vs. FTF	TGs vs. FTF vs. WL CG			RCT, TG vs. WL CG		RCT, TG vs. CG					
Adults			Adults			Adults	Adults			Adults		Adult (1) patients			į	(2) caregivers	
PE and (1) Postcard	reminders	(2) Telephone reminders	PE			Unspecified	CBT			CBT		Н					
(1) 75		(2) 80	144			12	(1) 26		(2) 27	39		(1) 20			!	(2) 17	
Website and phone or postcard	reminders		Website			Chat	1. Audio chat		Video chat	Website and e-mail		Website and forum					
Depression			Depression			Precounseling anxiety	Various			Chronic headache		Heart transplant- related psychological	symptoms				
Clarke et al. (2005)			Clarke et al. (2002)			Cohen & Kerr (1998)	Day & Schneider (2002)			Devineni & Blanchard (2005)		Dew et al. (2004)					

Appro	
(1) Empha health risk) 2341 PE
Empha on nicc replace) 1896 (2)
	G
therap	Ö
Informa) 136 (1)
CBT) 121 (2)
pecifie	Uns

TABLE 1. Articles Included in the Meta-analysis (continued)

.07 (±.31)			.29 (±.23)				.62 (±.75)			1.18 (±.90)							.61 (±.43)				.62 (±.45)							1.09 (土.88)						(Continued)
Online intervention	was as effective as 2 FTF support in maintaining	treatment effects	TG improved	over CG in most outcome	measures		TG improved in all	measures	relative to CG	TG improved in all	measures and	exceeded CG.	Results	maintained in	4-month	follow-up	Some improvement	was found,	unrelated to	treatment	Positive effects of	treatment in	most measures	over CG,	maintained	in 6-month	follow-up	Patients improved	in all outcome	measures.	Effects	maintained at	1-month follow-up	
Body weight,	adherence to treatment, and energy expense		Physiological	markers and salf-raport of	stress	management	SR of anxiety,	depression,	and impact of	SR of loneliness	and acceptance	of disability					SR of anxiety,	depression,	and related	cognitions	SR of anxiety,	fear,	depression,	and related	cognitions			SR of fear,	depression,	and social	adjustment			
RCT, TG	compared to 2 FTF maintenance	support	RCT, TG	compared to information-	only CG		RCT, TG	compared	to WL CG	RCT. TG	compared	to WL CG					RCT, TG	compared	to WL CG		RCT, compared	to WL CG						Pre-post	evaluation	of TG				
Adults			Adults				Adults			Adults							Adults				Adults							Adults						
Unspecified			CBT				CBT			CBT							CBT				CBT							CBT						
77			129				13			10							36				36							10						
Website and	e-mail and chat room		Website and	chat			Website			Chat rooms.	in groups of	23 patients					Website				Website							Website and	telephone	support				
Overweight and	obese eating		Stress				Traumatic	event		Loneliness (for	people with	disabilities)					Anxiety disorders				Anxiety disorders							Phobia or panic	disorder					
Harvey-Berino,	Pintauro, Buzzell, & Gold	(2004)	Hasson,	Anderberg, Theorall &	Arnetz	(2005)	Hirai & Clum	(2006a)		Hopps, Pépin.	& Boisvert	(2003)					Kenardy,	McCafferty,	& Rosa	(2003)	Kenardy,	McCafferty,	& Rosa	(2006)				Kenwright,	Marks, Gega,	& Mataix	(2004)			

Author(s)	Problem Area	Online Channel & Format	N	Therapeutic Approach	Age & Type of Patients	Research Design	Outcome Measures	Findings	ES^{1} (Cl^{2})
Klein & Richards (2001)	Panic disorder	Website	÷	CBT	Adults	RCT, TG compared with NT CG	Incidents of panic attacks, SR of anxiety, depression, self-efficacy, and body	TG improved in most outcome measures while no changes in CG	. 26 (±.83)
Klein, Flichards, & Austin (2006)	Panic disorder	Website and	6	СВТ	Adults	RCT, TG compared CGs of information and written manual	Programo SR of panic attacks, anxiety, depression, body vigilance, and related cognitions	Effective changes to posititherapy and 3-month follow-up of both TG & written manual TC on most outcome measures, but not	1.13 (土.64)
Kypri & McAnally (2005)	Hazardous health behaviors	Site-based assessment and prescriptive feedback	60	Ш	Adults	RCT, TG compared to assessment only and minimal contact	SR of behaviors	TG found effective in changing behaviors similar to assesment but better than	.30 (±.36)
Kypri et al. (2004)	Hazardous drinking	Site-based assessment and prescriptive feedback	42	2	Adults	RCT, TG compared to written material CG	SR of behaviors	Reductions in hazardous behaviors in 6 weeks and 6 months following treatment compared to C6	.35 (±.43)
Lange et al. (2003)	Posttraumatic stress	Website	69	CBT	Adults	RCT, TG compared to WL CG	SR of behaviors and relevant emotions	Positive period of Positive feets of TG on all outcome measures relative to CG; these maintained at 6-month follow-up	.92 (±.42)

TABLE 1. Articles Included in the Meta-analysis (continued)

86 (±.62)	(±.33)	.40 (±.32)	.06 (±.65)	.01 (±.67) 52 (+ 74)	.17 (±.38)	.19 (土.68)
Positive effects of the treatment in all outcome measures, sustained 6-week follow-up	Higher smoking- quitting rates when e-mail messages sent to site users		Negative correlation 1 between compliance with intervention and severity of symptoms	Reduction in depression in homogeneous but not in heterogeneous groups	Effective treatment evident in pre-post comparisons, similar in both groups	Some positive moderate effects were found: only change in self- esteem sustained in 16-week follow-up
Trauma symptoms, anxiety, and depression	Percentage of smoke quitting		SR of jet-lag- related symptoms	SR of depression and quality of life	SR of drinking behavior	SR of depressive symptoms, attribution style, and self-esteem and related cognitions
Pre-post comparisons of TG	Comparisons of several time points between TGs		Relationship between prescriptive treatment and symptom relief	Pre-post comparisons of 2 TGs	TG compared with CG receiving written materials	RCT, TG compared with WL CG
Adults	Adults		Adults	Adults	Adults	Adolescents
CBT	2		Prescriptive PE	PE and general support	뷥	CBT
20	(1) 70	(2) 74	50	(1) 17	i Î Si	20
Website	1. Website	 Website and e-mail 	Website	Chat room and forum for (1) homogeneous or (2) heterogeneous	E-mail	Website
Posttraumatic stress	Smoking cessation		Jet lag	Depression in Parkinson's disease patients	Binge drinking	Depression
Lange et al. (2000)	Lenert, Muñoz, Perez, & Bansod (2004)		Lieberman (2003)	Lieberman et al. (2005)	Moor et al. (2005)	O'Kearney, Gibson, Christensen, & Griffiths (2006)

(Continued)

thor(s)	Problem Area	Online Channel & Format	2	Therapeutic Approach	Age & Type of Patients	Research Design	Outcome Measures	Findings	ES^1 (CI^2)
n, Klapow, Roth, Shuster, & Bellis (2005)	Anxiety and mood related to breast cancer	Website and forum	26	СВТ	Female adults	RCT, TG compared with WL CG	SR of health- related quality of life, psychological and physical	Weak effects of treatment from pre- to post- intervention and to 12-week follow-up	.19 (土.54)
en (2003)	Depression	Website	406	ЬЕ	Adults	RCT, TG compared with information-only CG	weir-being SR of depression	No effects of the intervention in either group	.04 (±.14)
ards & Nvarenga 2002)	Panic disorder	Website	თ	СВТ	Adults	Pre- to 3-month following treatment comparisons	SR of panic and anxiety severity, body vigilance, and body	Improvement in some of the outcome measures	.61 (±1.00)
ards tt al. 2006)	Panic disorder	Website and e-mail	(1) 12	(1) CBT	Aduits	RCT, 2 TGs compared with information-only CG	SR of pance severity, depression, anxiety, agoraphobic cognitions, body vigilance, and quality of life	Positive improvement in all measures were found equally in both TGs compared to CG; these effects sustained at sustained at 3-month follow-up	.78 (±.80)
			(2) 11 (3) 9	 (2) CBT and stress management (3) information 					1.07 (±.83) 10 (±.92)
rband st al. 2003)	Encopresis	Website	12	PE and behavioral	Children	RCT, TG compared with comparison group that received routine	Relevant knowledge, encopresis incidents, and toilet habits	Effective change in most outcome measures relative to pretreatment and to CG	.65 (±.80)

medical care

TABLE 1. Articles Included in the Meta-analysis (continued)

19 (+ 13)	,	.08 (±.13) 1.31 (±.50) 36, ler -up	1.28 (土.74)	1.68 (±.13) uous	1.43 (±.14)	.41 (±.59) in	(Continued)
posttreatment	Reduced body weight in TG more than CG at both 3- and 6-month follo assessment	Both TGs equally improved at posttreatment most measure but TG(1) sustained bett outcome at 4-week follow-		Over 20 percent abstinence on 28-day contin rates in both groups, with advantage to tallored intervention		Reduction in headache severity and depressio	
and severity of eating disorders	Body weight	SR of state of problem and evaluation of fear by blind assessor		SR of smoking abstinence		Headache diary and effects and depression	
comparison	RCT, compared TG to information- only CG	RCT, compared 2 TGs		Compared 2 TGs at 6 and 12 weeks following intervention		RCT, TG compared with WL CG	
	Adults	Aduits		Adults		Adults	
	(1) Tailored behavior	(2) PE(1) CBT, with exposure	(2) CBT, self- management without exposure	(1) Tailored CBT	(2) Nontailored CBT	CBT	
	(1) 438	(2) 429 (1) 33	(2) 15	(1) 446	(2) 418	20	
	W ebsite	Website		Website and e-mail		Website and e-mail	
disorders	W eight management	Phobic and panic disorders		Smoking cessation		Recurrent headache	
Serfaty (2001)	Rothert et al. (2006)	Schneider et al. (2005)		Strecher et al. (2005)		Ström, Pattersson, & Andersson (2000)	

Author(s)	Problem Area	Online Channel & Format	~	Therapeutic Approach	Age & Type of Patients	Research Design	Outcome Measures	Findings	ES ¹ (CI ²)
Ström, Pattersson, & Andersson (2004)	Insomnia	E-mail and web page	30	СВТ	Adults	RCT, TG compared with WL CG	Sleep diary, depression, and anxiety	Improved sleeping quality	.32 (±.44)
Swartz, Noell, Schroeder, & Ary (2006)	Smoking cessation	Website	87	В	Adults	RCT, TG compared with WL CG	Smoking abstinence	Abstinence rate of TG was higher than in CG at 3-month follow-up	.45 (±.28)
Tate, Jackvony, & Wing (2003)	Weight loss	1. Website	(1) 46	Behavioral	Adults	RCT, 2 TGs compared pre- to posttreatment	Body weight and waist circumference	Both TGs improved in outcome measures; 2nd group was more successful	.25 (±.41)
		 Website and e-mail 	(2) 46						.44 (土.41)
Tate, Wing, & Winett (2001)	Weight loss	1. Website	(1) 30	(1) PE	Adults	RCT, 2 TGs compared pre- to posttreatment	Body weight and waist circumference	Both TGs improved in outcome measures; 2nd group was more successful	.43 (±.49)
		 Website and e-mail 	(2) 32	(2) Behavioral					.46 (±.48)
Wade, Wolfe, Brown, & Pestian (2005)	Traumatic brain injury	Website and webcam	(1) 6	СВТ	(1) Parents	Pre- to posttreatment comparisons	Child adjustment, parent-child conflicts, family functioning	Small improvement in most outcome measures	.65 (±.98)
			(2) 8		(2) Children		>		.44 (±.1.13)

TABLE 1. Articles Included in the Meta-analysis (continued)

01 (±.51)	07 (±.51)	.10 (±.51)	.08 (±.51)	.46 (±.60)		.36 (±.58)	.51 (±.67)	.24 (±.52)	(Continued)
TGs improved in all measures over 6 months, both in children and parents, more successful in behavioral TG				No differences between groups,	but some advantage to TG at 3-month follow-up	More changes in CG than in TG	Positive changes occurred, maintained at 1-month follow-up	Improvement of TG in most outcome measures, sustained at 10-week follow-up	
Body mass index, weight, body fat				SR of body shape, eating	disorders, and weight and shape concerns	Weight, biochemical data, and SR of quality of life	Abstinence rates, amount smoked, and related attitudes	Body mass index and SR of body image and eating disorders	
RCT, 2 TGs compared pre- to posttreatment				RCT, TG compared with	no-treatment CG	RCT, TG compared with manual treatment CG	Pre- to posttreatment comparisons	RCT, TG compared with WL CG	
Adolescents and parents				Adults		Female adults	Adolescents	Female adults	
(1) PE parents	(2) PE adolescents	(3) Behavioral	(4) Behavioral adolescents	CBT		Behavioral	Client-centered	E	
(1) 29	(2) 28	(3) 29	(4) 28	24		15	18	27	
Website or website and e-mail				Website and forum		Website and e-mail and forum	Chat room	Website and forum	
Weight loss				Body image		Weight loss	Smoking cessation	Eating pathology and body- image dissatisfaction	
White et al. (2004)				Winzelberg et al. (2000)		Womble et al. (2004)	Woodruff, Edwards, Conway, & Elliott (2001)	Zabinski et al. (2001)	

Author(s)	Problem Area	Online Channel & Format	2	Therapeutic Approach	Age & Type of Patients	Research Design	Outcome Measures	Findings	ES ¹ (Cl ²)
Zabinski, Wifley, Cafras, Winzelberg, & Taylor (2004)	Eating pathology and body- image dissatisfaction	3 chat rooms	28	Ш	Female adults	RCT, TG compared with WL CG	Body mass index, eating disorders, body image	Improvement of TG in most outcome measures, sustained at 10-week	.53 (±.51)
Zetterqvist, Maanmies, Ström, & Andersson (2003)	Stress	Website	53	CBT	Adults	RCT, TG compared with WL CG	SR of stress, social support, anxiety, and depression	follow-up Improvement of TG in morst outcome measures, but some improvement in CG too	.70 (±.53)
Note: $ES = efi$ CG = control grou $^{1}ES =$ average	fect size; CBT = cc up; WL = waiting lis!) of effects of outcor	gnitive-behavior the t; NT = no treatment me measures used i	rapy; PE = . N = numt	psychoeducation; F ber of participants re ly.	-TF = face-to-fac ceiving online tre	e; RCT = randomized atment in the statistics	l controlled trial; SR al analyses.	= self-report; TG = trea	tment group;

(continued)
Meta-analysis
Ided in the
Articles Inclu
TABLE 1. /

of the studies included in the analysis. The interventions analyzed were evaluated by a total of 746 measures of effects. Some studies were evaluated by a single outcome measure (e.g., Cohen & Kerr, 1998), whereas others were assessed by several measures, up to as many as 21 (Buhrman, Fältenhag, Ström, & Andersson 2004). On the average, the studies used eight measures to determine the effectiveness of treatment.

Coding of Moderators

Two coders independently coded various study features as possible moderators of effects, based on theoretical or methodological considerations. Interrater consensus between the coders revealed a 95 percent agreement. In cases of disagreement or lack of coherence, a third rater was involved to reach a final, agreed-on rating. The three coders held either masters or doctorate degrees in the behavioral sciences. As mentioned, when the study provided insufficient data with respect to a specific moderator, it was coded as absent and not included in the final analysis. Moderator analyses were performed to examine whether the ES of an intervention or a group intervention could be explained by moderating variables. In cases in which a specific moderator resulted in more than two separate groups, it was designated as a moderating variable if the analysis revealed significant heterogeneity between two of the groups, each of which displayed within-group homogeneity (Hedges & Olkin, 1985). A minimum of five cases in a category allowed for a meaningful test of homogeneity (Voyer, Voyer, & Bryden, 1995); however, not all of the coded moderators were used because of the small number of studies in some of the categories.

To avoid sample-size bias, once Hedge's g was calculated for a given variable, the corresponding ES was weighed according to the number of participants included in the ES calculation. These calculations were performed by means of the D-STAT program and according to the formulas developed by Hedges and Olkin (1985).

After the mean weighed ES was calculated, tests of homogeneity were performed to determine whether the ESs could be considered to share a common population ES. If Q statistics were significant, homogeneity would be rejected for the ESs within the given set and moderator analyses conducted to identify the sources of systematic variations among the ESs. In these calculations, the moderator variables represent the independent variables, while the effectiveness of the interventions represents the dependent variables. Moderator analyses were performed as follows: First, the mean effect size and the value of within-group homogeneity (Q_W = within) were calculated for each category of the moderator variables. Next, the degree of homogeneity between the moderator categories (Q_B = between) was calculated. A moderator variable is considered to explain the variance of an effect set if the value of Q_W is not significant while the value of Q_B is significant.

Calculation of Effect Size

The data collected from the 92 studies investigated were converted into a uniform, standardized format to enable a quantitative synthesis by means of meta-analytic calculations, using the fixed-effects method. The studies contained 746 measures of effects of the interventions employed. The intervention ES of each of the 746 measures was computed through statistical procedures developed by Hedges and Olkin (1985) and additional mathematical solutions for nonsignificant ES (Rosenthal, 1984).

In several cases, some data were missing. We then calculated the *ES* of the effects of individual measures on the available data. When data associated with a specific moderator were missing, we either omitted missing data or included it in the "other" category in presenting the results.

After computing ESs for all measures and the average ES for each of the studies, we examined as a common procedure in metaanalysis the distribution of effects to detect outliers. Although three of the 92 mean effects were detected as outliers, we decided not to exclude these studies for the following reasons: First, the mean weighted ES did not change when outliers were excluded. Second, it became clear when analyzing moderating effects that the outliers' ESs significantly associated with moderator interaction, thus excluding the possibility that these studies could actually damage the explanatory value of our review. In other words, the outliers actually contributed to the homogeneity of variance rather than the opposite—the main reason for discarding outliers. Thus, our decision is consistent with statistical requirements and reasoning (Fuller & Hester, 1999; Hedges & Olkin, 1985).

130

RESULTS

The average weighted *ES* over all 92 studies, across all dependent measures, was 0.53, which is considered to be a medium effect (Cohen, 1988). As can be observed in Table 1, average effects varied greatly from study to study, from a minimum *ES* of -0.10 (Richards, Klein, & Austin, 2006; treating panic disorder through online information alone) to a maximum of 1.68 (Strecher, Shiffman, & West, 2005; treating smoking cessation through tailored CBT). The *ESs* also showed extreme variations along other variables, especially through the 746 dependent measures: from a low of -2.90 (self-report of pain severity in treating chronic back pains; in Buhrman et al., 2004) to 5.10 (self-report of "total phobia" in follow-up versus pretreatment in treating phobic and panic disorders, both with and without exposure in using CBT; in Schneider, Mataix-Cols, Marks, & Bachofen, 2005). Out of the 746 effects calculated, 75 were zero or less (10 percent); out of the 92 mean *ESs* calculated per study, 5 (5.4 percent) were negative.

We then examined the moderation effects on *ES* of the various moderators in searching for meaningful interactions. The following sections present the moderating effects examined.

Type of Outcome Measure of Effectiveness

Effectiveness of treatments was measured in various ways: clients' self-report questionnaires, reports of behaviors and activities, assessments and diagnostics by experts and raters, and physiological measures—all suited to the study and problem in question. Table 2 presents the comparison of ES by type of dependent measure. The differences among ES by type of measure were highly significant

Type of Measure	ES	п	Ν
Evaluation by Expert	0.93	3	140
Behavior	0.61	26	6272
Self-Report	0.43	62	4518
Physical	0.19	26	1892
Other	1.54	8	222

TABLE 2. Effect Size by Type of Outcome Measure

Note: ES = effect size; n = number of effects; N = number of participants. Number of effects exceeds 92; some of the studies used more than one type of measure.

 $(Q_B = 226.42; p < .001)$, varying from 0.93 (evaluation by experts and raters) to 0.19 (physical; e.g., blood pressure, brain waves). The "other" category yielded very high average *ES*, but this seems to be random, as there is no logical common denominator (e.g., number of visits to a general practitioner before and after treatment, therapist satisfaction). It seems that psychological treatments conducted online are less successful in producing problem-related changes that are physical or somatic in nature, such as blood pressure or weight. Without this type of effectiveness outcome measure, however, the average *ES* would apparently have increased significantly and become closer to what is considered high *ES*.

Type of Problem

Patients were treated for a variety of problems and psychological distresses (sometimes associated with medical-considered problems, such as back pains or headaches). We classified most of these problems into several meaningful categories; however, eight specific problems (e.g., insomnia) remained in the "other" category. As may be viewed in Table 3, average *ES* yielded significant variations among the problem categories ($Q_B = 197.98$; p < .001). While post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (mean ES = 0.88) and panic and anxiety disorders (mean ES = 0.80) were treated most effectively, weight loss received the least effective treatment (ES = 0.17). Thus, it looks as if Internet-based interventions are better suited to treat problems that are more psychological in nature—that is, problems

Type of Problem	ES	п	N
PTSD	0.88	3	148
Panic and Anxiety	0.80	23	498
Smoking Cessation	0.62	8	5460
Drinking	0.48	6	351
Body Image	0.45	5	221
Depression	0.32	16	2500
Physiological	0.27	7	212
Weight Loss	0.17	16	1604
Other	0.55	8	1427

TABLE 3. Effect Size by Type of Problem

Note: ES = effect size; n = number of effects; N = number of participants; PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder

dealing with emotions, thoughts, and behaviors—and less suited to treat problems that are primarily physiological or somatic (although these obviously have psychological components, too). If the latter categories were removed from the analysis, the average *ES* would have exceeded 0.6.

We examined possible confounding effects in that differential effectiveness might have been created by different therapeutic approaches, use of certain type of outcome measures, or other factors. We found no support for such confounding effects.

Time of Measuring Effectiveness

Most studies measured effectiveness right at the end of the therapy (i.e., posttreatment) or very close to it; a number of studies measured effectiveness in later follow-up, ranging from four weeks to a year after the end of therapy. The mean *ES* for post-therapy measurement, which involved 85 studies, was 0.52, whereas the mean *ES* of 33 studies that measured effectiveness at follow-up was 0.59. Despite the optical, apparent difference in favor of the follow-up effect, it was not found to be statistically significant ($Q_B = 2.46$; p > .05). The lack of difference means that effects of Internet-based interventions last for a longer time than just to the end of therapy, as should be expected of effective treatment intervention.

Therapeutic Theoretical Approach

Three main psychotherapeutic approaches characterized the studies being analyzed: CBT (intervention primarily based on a combination of changing thought patterns and contents, associated with rehearsal of related relevant behaviors), psychoeducational (intervention primarily based on providing information and explanations on a problem area and behaviors and emotions associated with it and prescribed instructions on how to change), and behavioral (intervention primarily based on modification and shaping of target behaviors based on learning principles). Table 4 presents the *ESs* by approach. Significant differences emerged among the therapy categories ($Q_B = 190.22$; p < .001), with the CBT (ES = 0.83) being found much more effective than the other approaches. The behavioral approach seems to be the least suited for online treatment (ES = 0.23). If behavioral approaches were left out of Internet

Type of Intervention	ES	п	N
Cognitive-Behavioral	0.83	51	3960
Psycho-educational	0.46	25	6796
Behavioral	0.23	14	1136
Other	0.65	2	30

TABLE 4. Effect Size by Type of Theoretical Approach of Intervention

Note: ES = effect size; n = number of effects; N = number of participants.

interventions, average *ES* would have increased, it seems, to a much higher level of effectiveness.

Here, too, possible confounding effects were examined to test that differential effectiveness was a result of other factors (e.g., presenting problem). We found no support for such confounding effects.

Age of Patients

We classified the age of clients into five age groups according to the data available from the articles (see Table 5). However, quite a few studies reported only an age range; hence, these data have to be referred to with caution. The effects of the data used for the analysis yielded significant differences among age groups ($Q_B = 181.23$; p < .001). An interesting *ES* pattern emerged, as youth and oldest adults seem to be less effectively treated (*ES* = 0.15 and 0.20, respectively), whereas young (19–24) and older (25–39) adults seem to gain more from Internet-based therapy (*ES* = 0.48 and 0.62, respectively).

Age Group ES п Ν 18 and under 287 0.15 6 19 - 240.48 14 840 25 - 390.62 27 6941 40 and above 0.20 31 3172 Age not reported 0.63 14 682

TABLE 5. Effect Size by Age of Patients

Note: ES = effect size; n = number of effects; N = number of participants.

Form of Online Intervention: Web-based versus Etherapy

Internet-based therapy can be delivered mainly through a website (i.e., web-based therapy, using a number of intervention methods) or online communication (i.e., etherapy, through various communication channels and modalities). Although these two forms of delivering therapy are essentially different, they both use the Internet as a major vehicle for interacting with clients from a distance. Of the 92 studies, the mean *ES* of 65 studies that examined the effectiveness of web-based therapy was 0.54, which is not significantly different from the mean *ES* of 0.46 found for 27 studies that investigated the effectiveness of etherapy ($Q_B = 2.49$; p > .05). It is important to note that, generally, web-based and etherapy used similar theoretical approaches with similar patients (in terms of age and gender), with similar presenting problems, and were assessed by similar outcome measures, hence confounding effects are improbable.

Group versus Individual Therapy

The Internet enables delivering therapy in individual or group modes, just as in face-to-face therapy. However, whereas web-based therapy is conducted individually, etherapy in principle (aside from possible supplements of group support offered occasionally in this form of therapy) may be conducted either individually (through various communication channels, such as e-mail and personal chat) or in groups (through a forum or chat room). Table 6 compares the mean *ES* of individual (in web-based therapy and in etherapy) versus group (in etherapy) therapeutic modes. As can be seen in the table, individual therapy—whether delivered through web-based therapy or etherapy—was found to be more effective than group therapy ($Q_B = 7.34$;

Mode	d	п	Ν
Individual-website	0.53	65	10523
Individual—etherapy	0.57	9	490
Group—etherapy	0.36	18	909

TABLE 6. Effect Size of Individual versus Group Modes of Therapy

Note: d = effect size; n = number of effects; N = number of participants.

p < .05). The individual therapy mode marked 74 of the studies and yielded a mean *ES* of 0.54; group therapy, characterizing the remaining 18 studies, yielded a mean of 0.36, a statistically significant difference ($Q_B = 7.12$; p < .01). However, since only a small number of studies included in the analysis used group therapy, and the number of their participants was relatively small compared to the other therapy modes, the impact on the overall *ES* was marginal. Again, it should be noted that, generally, individual and group interventions were provided by similar therapeutic approaches to similar patients with similar problems and were assessed by similar outcome measures, hence the possibility for confounding effects is little.

Web-based Therapy: Interactive versus Static Website

Web-based therapy may be delivered through an interactive website, where users actively interact with the site according to its instructions and applications, or through a static website, where users passively receive information, instructions, and suggestions relating to their area of concern. Interactive sites are characteristic of CBT and the behavioral psychotherapeutic approaches, in which activating patients cognitively and behaviorally is essential. Static sites are more typical of psychoeducational or information-only approaches, which employ didactic and informative techniques. Our analysis found that of the 65 studies that investigated web-based therapy, the *ES* was 0.65 for 51 therapies that used interactive sites, which is significantly higher than the *ES* of 0.52 for the 14 interventions that used static sites ($Q_B = 32.07$; p < .001).

It should be noted that problem type were similarly treated by both types of therapies, therefore this factor should not be regarded as a possible confounding variable. However, as mentioned, interactive sites are more typical of CBT, whereas static sites are more typical of psychoeducational approaches, thus confounding might be possible in inferring from the differences reported here.

Web-based Therapy: Open versus Closed Website

Web-based therapy may be delivered through an open-access website, which permits anyone who desires to receive treatment to engage in it, or through a closed-access (filtered) website, for which patients are prescreened (according to various criteria) and the site is accessed only by personal authorization. Among the 65 web-based therapy studies, the *ES* for 51 interventions that used closed sites was 0.68, which is significantly higher than the *ES* of 0.48 for the 14 interventions that used open sites ($Q_B = 50.40$; p < .001). This difference may be interpreted through several possible explanations, such as: web therapy does not fit every patient hence prescreening is essential, professional assessment should precede effective web-based therapy, and/or a closed site creates elevated commitment and motivation for therapy. Future research should look into these hypotheses.

Etherapy: Synchronicity of Communication

Etherapy can use either the synchronous communication mode through chat, audio, or webcam—or asynchronous communication, via e-mail and forum. Of the 27 studies that investigated the effectiveness of etherapy, the mean *ES* of the 12 studies that studied the use of the synchronous communication modality for therapy was 0.49, whereas the mean *ES* of the 15 studies that investigated asynchronous therapeutic communication tools was 0.44. This difference was not found to be statistically significant ($Q_B = 0.20$; p > .05).

Etherapy: Type of Modality

The 27 studies that investigated the effectiveness of etherapy examined chat (nine studies), forum (eight studies), e-mail (seven studies), audio (two studies), and webcam (one study) as means of communication between therapists and clients. Table 7 presents the mean *ES* of each modality. The differences among the mean *ES* values were found to be significant ($Q_B = 55.16$; p < .001). It appears that chat and e-mail (both in the 0.50 s) were more effective than forum and

Communication Modality	ES	п	N
Audio	0.91	1	54
Chat	0.53	9	231
Webcam	0.31	2	208
E-mail	0.51	7	383
Forum	0.34	8	523

TABLE 7. Effect Size of Etherapy by Communication Modality (27 Studies)

Note: ES = effect size; n = number of effects; N = number of participants.

webcam (both in the 0.30 s). As the number of studies for this analysis is small and the common denominator between webcam and forum, unlike the other communication modalities, is not obvious, various speculations may be offered to account for these differences, such as reduced sense of privacy.

It should be noted that, generally, no systematic difference was present between the various modalities in terms of problem type, theoretical approach, or type of clients, hence confounding effects seem to be improbable. The small number of studies in each modality, however, does not allow further examination of this effect.

Contribution of Online Supplements to Main Treatment Mode

Several Internet-based treatment methods tried online supplements to accompany the main treatment modality, whether it involved the use of web-based therapy or any etherapy modality. In some studies, clients were offered the supplemental (and sporadic) use of e-mail (e.g., Carlbring, Westling, Ljungstrand, Ekselius, & Andersson, 2001) or a forum (e.g., Dew et al., 2004) in addition to the use of web-based therapy as the primary therapeutic method. In other studies, a complementary website to etherapy was offered that used e-mail (e.g., Moore, Soderquist, & Werch, 2005) or a forum supplement where chat was used as primary therapeutic communication channel (e.g., Gollings & Paxton, 2006).

In a comparison of studies that used a *website as a supplement* to other modes of treatment and those that did not, the supplementary websites were revealed in fact to have the possibility of *reducing* the effectiveness of the treatment (mean *ES* of 0.41 versus 0.54, respectively; $Q_B = 4.26$; p < .05). A further analysis showed no differences between supplementary-site types, open or closed, static or interactive.

Use of *e-mail as a supplement* to the main treatment modality, too, was found to be noncontributing, as mean *ES* of studies that used this method was 0.53, identical to the studies that did not e-mail as a supplement. Nor did the use of a *forum as a supplement* contribute to therapy effectiveness: the mean *ES* of the 15 studies that used this method was 0.44, the result of which was not different from the studies that did not use a forum supplement (mean ES = 0.54; $Q_B = 1.74$; p > .05).

Furthermore, the use of an online *audio feature as a supplement* did not contribute to therapy; in fact, it actually *decreased* the value

of ES. The mean ES of the eight studies that used this feature was 0.32, versus a mean ES of 0.54 for the studies that did not $(Q_B = 7.65; p < .01)$. The use of *chat as a supplement* to the main treatment modality, too, had a *diminishing* effect: the six studies that used chat as a complementary channel of communication had a mean ES of 0.15, as opposed to a mean ES of 0.54 for the rest of the studies $(Q_B = 25.32; p < .001)$. The use of a webcam as a supplementary channel revealed that this method *hindered* effectiveness, as the six studies that used it had a mean ES of 0.35, compared to a mean ES of 0.54 for the studies that used it had a mean ES of 0.35, compared to a mean ES of 0.54 for the studies that did not $(Q_B = 3.74; p < .05)$.

It should be noted that this finding does not necessarily pertain to causality, as various variables might moderate and be responsible for this difference. Several explanations may be provided as to why supplementary features seem not to significantly contribute to the effectiveness of therapy, such as: multichanneling of communication with clients may distract their focus and attention, and/or communication channels that reduce level personal sense of anonymity harm Internet-delivered therapy effectiveness. These (or other) hypotheses should be tested in future research.

Internet-Based versus Face-to-Face Therapy

Among the 92 studies included in the analysis, there were 14 that directly compared the Internet-based (n = 940) with the face-to-face (n = 593), traditional treatment of the same problem, with participants being assigned randomly to each treatment mode. While the average weighted *ES* of the Internet-based interventions was 0.39, the weighted *ES* of the face-to-face interventions was 0.34. This difference is not statistically significant ($Q_B = 0.32$; p > .05). It should be mentioned that there was no systematic factor or obvious reason why these particular studies yielded effects lower than the average of the rest of the studies; we thus assume there were no confounding effects involved in this analysis.

DISCUSSION

Overall Effectiveness of Internet-Based Interventions

The meta-analysis performed on 92 studies that investigated the effectiveness of Internet-based psychological interventions revealed

that, on the average, such an intervention has an ES of 0.53, or a medium effect. This average ES was found across different intervention methods and approaches, types of measure of effectiveness, problem areas. Internet channels and modalities, age of patients. and other variables. Our analysis of the interaction effects of moderators showed that the average ES could have been much higher if, for example, specific types of outcome measures (e.g., physical and physiological) were not employed. Actually, our examination showed that if studies used only the best (i.e., most improved) measures of effectiveness, the average weighted ES would have increased to 1.05, which is considered a very high ES. However, despite the poor effects on some of the outcome measures, despite that some psychological methods were found to be less appropriate for online application than others (e.g., behavioral), and despite that some problems are apparently less psychologically treatable through the Internet (e.g., weight loss), the average ES that we found, 0.53, is quite impressive. Incidentally, it should be mentioned that—generally parallel to our findings—some problems (e.g., weight loss) are less effectively treated by quite a few traditional, face-to-face therapeutic approaches too (Hardeman, Griffin, Johnston, Kinmonth, & Wareham, 2000; Shaw, O'Rourke, Del Mar, & Kenardy, 2007), hence these differences might not have to be attributed to the Internet as the mode of delivery of the intervention.

Our conclusion concerning the impressive nature of the level of effectiveness found is based on three foundations. First, on the average, face-to-face psychotherapeutic interventions are not significantly more effective in producing change in clients. Although quite a few studies showed that in-person therapy could attain a relatively high ES in treating certain problems in a specific population by using specific methods, the average effectiveness—parallel to the average ES of 0.53 found in our meta-analysis—was found to be of medium size, too. This finding is based on quite a few comprehensive reviews of the efficacy of psychotherapy, such as the Consumer Report study (see Seligman, 1995) and the comprehensive meta-analyses conducted by Smith and Glass (1977), Wampold and colleauges (1997), and Luborsky and colleagues (1999). Actually, if one summarizes all of the meta-analytic study results included in Lambert's and Ogles' (2004) comprehensive review of meta-analyses of the effectiveness of psychotherapy, one would find that the average of a mediumsize effect best represents the results of the numerous studies. The

conclusion, then, is that Internet-based therapy, on the average, is as effective, or nearly as efficacious, as face-to-face therapy. In this context, Wampold's (2001) summation in regard to the effectiveness of traditional, face-to-face psychological interventions, "Simply stated, *psychotherapy is remarkably efficacious*" (p. 71; emphasis in original), would seem to apply, as well, to Internet-based psychological interventions.

Second, the data collected for our meta-analytic review revealed no difference in ES between Internet and face-to-face interventions when compared in the same study. In fact, the average ES of the Internet intervention in the 14 studies that made such a comparison was only 0.39—for some unknown reason, a lower than average ES of all the studies included in our meta-analysis—but the average ES of face-to-face interventions included in this data set was 0.34. This difference was not found to be statistically significant, thus supporting the contention that Internet interventions are as effective as parallel face-to-face psychological interventions.

Third, despite the common myth (Fenichel et al., 2002) that therapy cannot or should not be delivered through the Internet—especially because of the lack of visibility and of nonverbal communication cues and the absence of evidence for its effectiveness (e.g., Clinical Social Work Federation, 2001)—our findings clearly show that, in most cases, online therapy can be delivered effectively, by using various Internet applications and exploiting several online communication options. If we take into consideration that the use of modern computers and the Internet for therapeutic purposes is a relatively new professional pursuit, and if we add to this that computer and communication technologies have continuously and significantly developed over the past decade, and if we also pay attention to the fact that, generally, therapy professionals are relative novices to and lack advanced education and training in this area—the findings of the current meta-analysis are not only impressive but surprisingly, actually stand high.

Moderating Effects of Theoretical Approaches

The analysis of moderators revealed that several important variables significantly moderate the effectiveness of Internet therapy. Some of these findings are not surprising and were actually anticipated. For instance, it can reasonably be expected that the type of outcome measures is associated with the degree of effectiveness, as this association is quite common in psychotherapy-outcome research (Hill & Lambert, 2004). In this context, it should be noted that expert ratings were found to be associated the most with effectiveness of interventions in the current meta-analysis. However, although "blind" raters were used in most studies, it makes sense that an expert's evaluation might be the most sensitive (even if in principle biased) to therapeutic effects—a speculation that directly corresponds to the well-documented problem of reactivity of outcome measures (Smith, Glass, & Miller, 1980). The obvious, and simple, possibility that interviewers could become aware of a patient's method of intervention and of his or her experiences in the process somewhat invalidates this type of outcome criterion or, at least, imparts to it a substantial bias. In this respect, therapist and/or researcher allegiance (Luborsky et al., 2002) might significantly affect any examination of effectiveness and influence ratings.

Our findings showed that CBT was more effective than other therapeutic approaches applied online, while behavioral techniques were much inferior. This finding-despite its saliency-is far from being simple. If we take into account that computer and Internet technology are advancing rapidly, we must consider that approaches having less of a text basis might not only become more attractive, they might even *elevate* effectiveness. For instance, Dallery and his associates (Dallery & Glenn, 2005; Dallery, Glenn, & Raiff, 2007; Glenn & Dallery, 2007) showed that behavioral treatment—using Skinnerian behavior-modification techniques and advanced remote technologies to bring about smoking cessation-can effectively be delivered through the Internet with exceptional success. (The first study cited was excluded from the meta-analysis for too few participants.) Additional examples are studies by Gold, Burke, Pintauro, Buzzell, and Harvey-Berino (2007) and Polzien, Jakicic, Tate, and Otto (2007), which recently presented highly effective behaviorally oriented web-therapy technique assisting in reducing clients' body weight—both an approach and a problem area that were relatively inferior in our meta-analysis. In other words, it might be a question of time, skill, and method-development before a variety of clinical approaches is implemented online with a degree of effectiveness similar to CBT. For instance, Ritterband and colleagues (2006) showed that adding unique online tools (audio, graphics, interactivity) to previously developed, mainly textual interventions indeed contributed an added value to therapeutic efficacy.

Web-Based Therapy, ETherapy, and Additional Internet-Delivered Interventions

The number of studies that investigated the effectiveness of webbased therapy (n = 65) included in our review significantly exceeded those that studied etherapy (n = 27). Apparently, the reason for this difference has to do with the relative ease with which research can be conducted on web-based interventions than on etherapy. In webbased therapy, clients enter a site and follow instructions, including filling out online questionnaires at various points in time, whereas etherapy more resembles face-to-face therapy in that a client meets a therapist for a therapeutic dialogue; hence, in the latter practice, forms and questionnaires are perceived as irrelevant and a nuisance. and contacting patients for questionnaires and other measurements is usually troublesome and involves ethical and methodological difficulties in addition to practical problems. This difference between the two modes of Internet-based interventions, however, is in reversed direction to that of process research reviewed earlier. It is also interesting to note that the efficacy of etherapy—perhaps because of its basic, more natural therapeutic nature-has been in the subject of numerous nonquantitative studies, including illustrative descriptive case studies (e.g., Chechele & Stofle, 2003; Luce, Winzelberg, Zabinski, & Osborne, 2003) and advanced qualitative analyses (e.g., Stofle, 2002). These publications could not be included in our quantitatively based meta-analytic review; however, their existence should not be overlooked, especially as they provide much evidence in support of the application of etherapy in various online communication modalities and for numerous problem areas. These problem areas include individual therapy in treating such issues as marital difficulties (Jedlicka & Jennings, 2001), sex problems (Hall, 2004), addictive behaviors (Stofle, 2002), anxiety and social phobia (Przeworski & Newman, 2004), and eating disorders (Grunwald & Busse, 2003); and group therapy in treating diverse problems (e.g., Barak & Wander-Schwartz, 2000: Colòn, 1996: Przeworski & Newman, 2004: Sander, 1999). Perhaps this type of methodology better suits etherapy-type intervention, especially since experiential-oriented therapy is commonly applied (Suler, 2008). It seems that with the development and improved training in this emerging area (Coursol & Lewis, 2004; Mallen, Vogel, & Rochlen, 2005; Trepal, Haberstroh, Duffey, & Evans, 2007) therapeutic process and outcome will elevate.

Our findings showed that, on the average, web-based intervention provides as effective therapy as etherapy. This finding does not mean that both approaches are as effective in treating similar individuals and/or similar problems. Web-based therapy is focused on self-help; that is, individual people make use of therapeutic resources—be it online information, psychoeducation interventions, or a tailored, clinical protocol based on CBT principles-to change their condition. The essential role and responsibility of a therapist lie in preparing the materials and providing them online in a way that is attractive, friendly, and optimally effective. In etherapy, however, a therapist is actively engaged in therapeutic communication with clients and in exploiting the Internet for a channel of communication of choice (Suler, 2000, 2004, 2008). It is possible, therefore, that clients characterized by different preferences, needs, or habits would benefit differentially from each of these two approaches in interaction with the problem area. The absence of a meaningful difference in average effectiveness that we found between the two approaches might reflect the self-selection of patients and/or therapists: the available data cannot as yet provide answers to these questions. Future research should focus on these interesting hypotheses.

Very little research has been published on two other uses of the Internet to deliver therapy: Internet component(s) that may complement face-to-face therapy (e.g., use of e-mail in between face-to-face sessions, ask in-person clients to publish posts on a personal blog, use of a website to prepare clients for face-to-face therapy) and Internetoperated software (a programmed robot that simulates a therapist based on principles of artificial intelligence or prescribed protocols, such as ELIZA). These two category uses, in addition to web-based therapy and etherapy, create a comprehensive toolkit for therapists who wish to exploit Internet capabilities in their clinical work. However, only very limited outcome research has been published to date on the growing use of Internet-assisted therapy as a complementary therapeutic vehicle, such as using e-mail, blogs, online information, or an online support group in parallel with traditional, face-to-face therapy (Baily, Yager, & Jensen, 2002; Castelnuovo, Gaggioli, Mantovani, & Riva, 2003; Suler, 2008; Tate & Zabinski, 2004; Zuckerman, 2003). Findings published on such therapeutic use have been promising, however. For example, Baily and colleagues (2002) described the use of e-mail as an adjunctive treatment tool for an adolescent with anorexia nervosa and the use of a chat room for

the enhancement of social life for a patient with social phobia. Likewise, Peterson and Beck (2003) presented a model, and several illustrative cases, of the use of e-mail as an adjunctive tool in psychotherapy. Golkaramnay, Bauer, Haug, Wolf, and Kordy (2007) recently presented the use of group therapy, conducted through a chat room, following the termination of a patient's in-person therapy in order to reduce the risk of relapse. In regard to the category of more robotic, therapeutic online software (Marks, Cavanagh, & Gega, 2007), empirical outcome research is rare; however, descriptions of such applications exist, such as in helping the treatment of problem drinkers (Squires & Hester, 2004) or the more general use of ELIZA (Epstein & Klinkenberg, 2001).

Considerations of Age as a Moderating Factor

The differential effects of age group on Internet-based therapy outcome require special attention. The findings of the meta-analysis showed that clients' age made a difference in terms of their ability to gain from the therapy. Specifically, among four age-group categories employed, the findings showed that the ES of Internet-based therapy provided to mid-age adults (19-39) was higher than either to older or younger clients. This finding, however, might be a temporal result of a vanishing factor: that of pervasiveness, acceptance, and usage skills associated with the Internet. In other words, we believe that nowadays—after the general penetration of computers and the Internet into homes, schools, and workplaces-these differences might have disappeared. Actually, recent studies of Internetbased therapy for older adults and children-published after the end of the data collection for the current analysis (March, 2006)showed strong therapeutic effects. For instance, using web-based CBT, Spence, Holmes, March, and Lipp (2006) showed highly effective results on anxious children, while Nelson, Barnard, and Cain (2006) gained similar results on depressive children. Hicks, von Baeyer, and McGrath (2006) presented highly effective online intervention for children's recurrent pains. Similarly, at the other end of the age continuum, Brattberg (2006) presented a highly effective, Internet-delivered, psychoeducation intervention in treating the chronic pains of older adults; Hill, Weinert, and Cudney (2006) showed highly effective web-based intervention of psychological symptoms of chronically ill older women. Lorig, Ritter, Laurent, and Plant (2006) presented a highly effective online intervention program for developing the self-management skills of older adults suffering from chronic diseases; Marziali and Donahue (2006) very effectively treated older caregivers (mean age 68) through videoconferencing. Thus, it seems that an age gap interacting with online-intervention effectiveness is indeed vanishing.

Since cyberspace has become a major social environment for children and adolescents (Fox & Madden, 2006; Hall, 2006; Valkenburg & Peter, 2007), it is not surprising to learn that Internet-based therapeutic and support applications operating online are highly useful for youngsters (Barak, 2007; Hoffmann, 2006; Mangunkusumo, Brug, Duisterhout, de Koning, & Raat, 2007), in contrast to what our review seemingly found. Likewise, the use nowadays of computers and the Internet by older people is quickly growing (Carpenter & Buday, 2007); indeed, this age group may gain much mental support through computer use (Shapira, Barak, & Gal, 2007).

Limitations of the Meta-Analytic Review

Meta-analysis-although becoming a common procedure in reviewing quantitative empirical findings of various phenomena-is far from being a flawless procedure. It has been criticized on statistical and methodological grounds in the context of evaluating the effectiveness of psychotherapy (e.g., Wampold, 2001) and other, more general issues (e.g., Field, 2003). One of the main criticisms has to do with publication bias and "file-drawer effect," created either by researchers themselves or by scientific journals, which results in an overestimation of effects. Although this point might be true, no doubt it affects face-to-face and Internet-based outcome research similarly: hence, a comparison of the two methods is not erroneous. In addition, it seems that the results of the moderator analyses that we conducted add to the validity of our conclusions. We considered using the "fail-safe N" (FSN) statistics in order to examine how many unpublished studies would have been needed to jeopardize our conclusions; we have avoided this step because of the clear nature of the results, on the one hand, and the problematic assumptions related to calculating FSN, on the other.

Another issue worth mentioning has to do with the statistical methods employed here. Since we used the fixed-effects method for our analysis, different results might be derived from those of the random- or mixed-effects methods, throwing into question the accuracy of our results. However, if we compare our results with those of previous meta-analyses of Internet interventions—though these are more limited in scope and content (Hirai & Clum, 2006b; Spek et al., 2007; Wantland et al., 2004) but employ alternative statistical models and assumptions—we find that the general nature of our findings is highly consistent with those others, thus supporting the validity of our conclusions from this aspect, as well. Future research should utilize different statistical models to replicate our analysis.

Also, our data set for performing the meta-analysis included all eligible articles according to the inclusion criteria determined; quality of research, however, was not one of them. Using this criterion suggested by some meta-analysis experts—might be problematic, especially as the objective and professional ability to assess quality of published research is limited and might be erroneous or biased. After close consideration and actual trials we decided to avoid this selection criterion and base research quality merely on acceptance for publication in a peer-reviewed journal. Our approach, however, might have introduced some error variance into the results. Future meta-analyses in this area should attempt to evaluate research quality of studies and either select those meeting a minimal level of this additional criterion or, perhaps in using a more informative approach, using research quality level as an additional moderator and examine its effects.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The findings presented in this meta-analytic review provide much support for the application of psychotherapeutic interventions through the Internet, using various approaches, methods, and online modalities, to treat various problems differentially but effectively; online therapy is especially effective for treating anxiety and stress—effects that last after therapy ends—and, on the average, is as effective as face-to-face intervention. The effectiveness of interventions can be detected by a variety of outcome measures, but less so when using physiological or physical measures. When web-based, self-help therapy is applied on an interactive website that may be accessed only by prescreened, authorized patients, it should increase therapy success. E-mail reminders for patients who use web-based therapy are expected to contribute to the success of the therapeutic intervention, too. When etherapy is applied, it seems that textual are preferred to nontextual modes (e.g., use of audio and webcam), though this should be regarded cautiously due to the small number of studies reviewed using these features. Furthermore, it seems that individual Internet therapy is more effective than group intervention online.

The use of computers and the Internet is rapidly increasing and becoming a common personal and social phenomenon (Barak & Suler, 2008; Bargh & McKenna, 2004; Haythornthwaite & Hagar, 2004; Madden, 2006). Moreover, the Internet-connected computer is turning into a highly influential social tool (Sassenberg & Jonas, 2007) all while innovative and advanced technology is introduced frequently and is rapidly changing the culture. Psychotherapy and counseling should adjust to this changing world and adopt new, innovative tools accordingly to fit into the world of today and tomorrow so as to better meet clients' expectations and needs. The current review shows that this is not only theoretically possible but actually a developing professional reality.

REFERENCES

- Note: References marked with an asterisk indicate studies included in the metaanalysis
- Andersson, G. (2006). Internet-based cognitive-behavioral self help for depression. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*, 6, 1637–1642.
- Andersson, G., Bergström, J., Carlbring, P., & Lindefors, N. (2005). The use of the Internet in the treatment of anxiety disorders. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 18, 73–77.
- *Andersson, G., Bergström, J., Hollandäre, F., Carlbring, P., Kaldo V., & Ekselius, L. (2005). Internet-based self-help for depression: Randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 187, 456–461.
- *Andersson, G., Lundström, P., & Ström, L. (2003). Internet-based treatment of headache: Does telephone contact add anything? *Headache*, *43*, 353–361.
- *Andersson, G., Strömgren, T., Ström, L., & Lyttkens, L. (2002). Randomized controlled trial of Internet-based cognitive behavior therapy for distress associated with tinnitus. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *64*, 810–816.
- Anthony, K. (2006). Electronically delivered therapies. In C. Feltham & I. Horton (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of counselling and psychotherapy* (pp. 518–523). London, UK: Sage.

- Baily, R., Yager, J., & Jensen, J. (2002). The psychiatrist as clinical computerologist in the treatment of adolescents: Old barks in new bytes. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 159, 1298–1304.
- Barak, A. (2004). Internet counseling. In C. E. Spielberger (Ed.), Encyclopedia of applied psychology (pp. 369–378). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Barak, A. (2007). Emotional support and suicide prevention through the Internet: A field project report. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23, 971–984.
- Barak, A., & Bloch, N. (2006). Factors related to perceived helpfulness in supporting highly distressed individuals through an online support chat. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 9, 60–68.
- Barak, A., & Suler, J. (2008). Reflections on the psychology and social science of cyberspace. In A. Barak (Ed.), *Psychological aspects of cyberspace: Theory, research, applications*, 1–12. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Barak, A., & Wander-Schwartz, M. (2000). Empirical evaluation of brief group therapy conducted in an Internet chat room. *Journal of Virtual Environments*, 5(1) [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.brandeis.edu/pubs/ jove/HTML/v5/cherapy3.htm.
- Bargh, J. A., & McKenna, K. Y. A. (2004). The Internet and social life. Annual Review of Psychology, 55, 573–590.
- Bickmore, T., Gruber, A., & Picard, R. (2005). Establishing the computer-patient working alliance in automated health behavior change interventions. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 59, 21–30.
- Brattberg, G. (2006). Internet-based rehabilitation for individuals with chronic pain and burnout: A randomized trial. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research*, 29, 221–227.
- *Bruning Brown, J, Winzelberg, A. J., Abascal, L. B., & Taylor, C. B. (2004). An evaluation of an Internet-delivered eating disorder prevention program for adolescents and their parents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *35*, 290–296.
- *Buhrman, M., Fältenhag, S., Ström, L., & Andersson, G. (2004). Controlled trial of Internet-based treatment with telephone support for chronic back pain. *Pain*, *111*, 368–377.
- Carlbring, P., & Andersson, G. (2006). Internet and psychological treatment: How well can they be combined? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 22, 545–553.
- *Carlbring, P., Ekselius, L., & Andersson, G. (2003). Treatment of panic disorder via the Internet: A randomized trial of CBT vs. applied relaxation. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 34, 129–140.
- *Carlbring, P., Furmark, T., Steczkó, J., Ekselius, L., & Andersson, G. (2006). An open study of Internet-based bibliotherapy with minimal therapist contact via e-mail for social phobia. *Clinical Psychologist*, 10, 30–38.
- *Carlbring, P., Nilsson-Ihrfelt, E., Waara, J., Kollenstam, C., Buhrman, M., Kaldo, V., et al. (2005). Treatment of panic disorder: Live therapy vs. self-help via the Internet. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 43, 1321–1333.
- *Carlbring, P., Westling, B. E., Ljungstrand, P., Ekselius, L., & Andersson, G. (2001). Treatment of panic disorder via the Internet: A randomized trial of a self-help program. *Behavior Therapy*, *32*, 751–764.

- Carpenter, B. D., & Buday, S. (2007). Computer use among older adults in a naturally occurring retirement community. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23, 3012–3024.
- Castelnuovo, G., Gaggioli, A., Mantovani, F., & Riva, G. (2003). New and old tools in psychotherapy: The use of technology for the integration of the traditional clinical treatments. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 40, 33–44.
- *Celio, A. A., Winzelberg, A. J., Wilfley, D. E., Eppstein-Herald, D., Springer, E. A., Dev, P., et al. (2000). Reducing risk factors for eating disorders: Comparison of an Internet and a classroom-delivered psychoeducational program. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*, 68, 650–657.
- Chechele, P. J., & Stofle, G. (2003). Individual therapy online via email and internet relay chat. In S. Goss & K. Anthony (Eds.), *Technology in counselling and psychotherapy: A practitioner's guide* (pp. 39–58). Houndmills, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Chester, A., & Glass, C. A. (2006). Online counseling: A descriptive analysis of therapy services on the Internet. *British Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 34, 145–160.
- *Chiauzzi, E., Green, T. C., Lord, S., Thum, C., & Goldstein, M. (2005). My student body: A high-risk drinking prevention Web site for college students. *Journal of American College Health*, 53, 263–274.
- *Christensen, H., Griffiths, K. M., & Jorm, A. F. (2004). Delivering interventions for depression by using the Internet: Randomised controlled trial. *British Medical Journal*, 328(7), 265–268. [this article provides findings also reported in Griffiths, K. M., Christensen, H., Clarke, G., Eubanks, D., Reid, E., Kelleher, C., et al. (2005). Overcoming depression on the Internet (ODIN) (2): A randomized trial of a self-help depression skills program with reminders. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 7(2), e16 [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from: http://www.jmir. org/2005/2/e16.
- *Christensen, H., Griffiths, K. M., & Korten, A. (2002). Web-based cognitive behavior therapy: Analysis of site usage and changes in depression and anxiety scores. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 4(1), e3 [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.jmir.org/2002/1/e3.
- *Christensen, H., Griffiths, K. M., Korten, A. E., Brittliffe, K., & Groves, C. (2004). A comparison of changes in anxiety and depression symptoms of spontaneous users and trial participants of a cognitive behavior therapy website. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 6(4), e46 [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.jmir.org/2004/4/e46.
- *Clarke, G., Eubanks, D., Reid, E., Kelleher, C., O'Connor, E., DeBar, L. L., et al. (2005). Overcoming depression on the Internet (ODIN) (2): A randomized trial of a self-help depression skills program with reminders. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 7(2), *e16* [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.jmir. org/2005/2/e16.
- *Clarke, G., Reid, E., Eubanks, D., O'Connor, E., DeBar, L. L., Kelleher, C. et al. (2002). Overcoming depression on the Internet (ODIN): A randomized controlled trial of an Internet depression skills intervention program. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 4(3), e14 [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from: http:// www.jmir.org/2002/3/e14.

- Clinical Social Work Federation (2001). CSWF position paper on internet text-based therapy [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.associationsites. com/page.cfm?usr=cswa&pageid=3670.
- *Cohen, G. E., & Kerr, A. B. (1998). Computer-mediated counseling: An empirical study of a new mental health treatment. *Computers in Human Services*, 15(4), 13–27.
- Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Colòn, Y. (1996). Chatt(er)ing through the fingertips: Doing group therapy online. Woman & Performance: A Journal of Feminist Theory, 17, 205–215.
- Cook, J. E., & Doyle, C. (2002). Working alliance in online therapy as compared to face-to-face therapy: Preliminary results. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 5, 95–105.
- Coursol, D., & Lewis, J. (2004). Counselor preparation for a cyber world: Curriculum design and development. In J. W. Bloom & G. R. Walz (Eds.), *Cybercounseling & cyberlearning: An encore* (pp. 19–34). Alexandria, VA: American Counseling Association.
- Dallery, J., & Glenn, I. M. (2005). Effects of an Internet-based voucher reinforcement program for smoking abstinence: A feasibility study. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 38, 349–357.
- Dallery, J., Glenn, I. M., & Raiff, B. R. (2007). An Internet-based abstinence reinforcement treatment for cigarette smoking. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 86, 230–238.
- *Day, S. X., & Schneider, P. L. (2002). Psychotherapy using distance technology: A comparison of face-to-face, video and audio treatment. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 49, 499–503.
- Derrig-Palumbo, K., & Zeine, F., (2005). Online therapy: A therapist's guide to expanding your practice. New York: Norton.
- *Devineni, T., & Blanchard, E. B. (2005). A randomized controlled trial of an Internet-based treatment for chronic headache. *Behaviour Research & Therapy*, 43, 277–292.
- ^{*}Dew, M. A., Goycoolea, J. M., Harris, R. C., Lee, A., Zomak, R., Dunbar-Jacob, J., et al. (2004). An Internet-based intervention to improve psychosocial outcomes in heart transplant recipients and family caregivers: Development and evaluation. *Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*, *23*, 745–758.
- Epstein, J., & Klinkenberg, W. D. (2001). From Eliza to Internet: A brief history of computerized assessment. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 17, 295–314.
- Escoffery, C., McCormick, L., & Bateman, K. (2004). Development and process evaluation of a Web-based smoking cessation program for college smokers: Innovative tool for education. *Patient Education & Counseling*, *53*, 217–225.
- *Etter, J.-F. (2005). Comparing the efficacy of two Internet-based, computer-tailored smoking cessation programs: A randomized trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 7(1), e2 [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.jmir.org/2005/1/e2.
- Etter, J. (2006). The Internet and the industrial revolution in smoking cessation counselling. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 25, 79–84.

- *Farvolden, P., Denisoff, E., Selby, P., Bagby, M. R., & Rudy, L. (2005). Usage and longitudinal effectiveness of a Web-based self-help cognitive behavioral therapy program for panic disorder. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 7(1), e7 [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.jmir.org/2005/1/e7.
- Fenichel, M., Suler, J., Barak, A., Zelvin, E., Jones, G., Munro, K., et al. (2002). Myths and realities of online clinical work. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 5, 481–497.
- Field, A. P. (2003). Can meta-analysis be trusted? The Psychologist, 16, 642-645.
- Fox, S., & Madden, M. (2006). *Generations online*. Pew Internet and American Life Project [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.pewinternet.org/ pdfs/PIP_Generations_Memo.pdf.
- Fuller, J. B., & Hester, K. (1999). Comparing the sample-weighted and unweighted meta-analysis: An applied perspective. *Journal of Management*, 25, 803–828.
- Glenn, I. M., & Dallery, J. (2007). Effects of Internet-based voucher reinforcement and a transdermal nicotine patch on cigarette smoking. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 40, 1–13.
- Gold, B. C., Burke, S., Pintauro, S., Buzzell, P., & Harvey-Berino, J. (2007). Weight loss on the web: A pilot study comparing a structured behavioral intervention to a commercial program. *Obesity*, 15, 155–164.
- Golkaramnay, V., Bauer, S., Haug, S., Wolf, M., & Kordy, H. (2007). The exploration of the effectiveness of group therapy through an Internet chat as aftercare: A controlled naturalistic study. *Psychotherapy & Psychosomatics*, *76*, 219–225.
- *Gollings, E. K., & Paxton, S. J. (2006). Comparison of Internet and face-to-face delivery of a group body image and disordered eating intervention for women: A pilot study. *Eating Disorders: The Journal of Treatment & Prevention*, 14, 1–15.
- Griffiths, K. M., & Christensen, H. (2006). Review of randomised controlled trials of Internet interventions for mental disorders and related conditions. *Clinical Psychologist*, 10, 16–29.
- *Griffiths, K. M., Christensen, H., Jorm, A. F., Evans, K., & Groves, C. (2004). Effect of web-based depression literacy and cognitive-behavioural therapy interventions on stigmatising attitudes to depression: Randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *185*, 342–349.
- Grohol, J. M. (2004). Online counseling: A historical perspective. In R. Kraus, J. Zack, & G. Stricker (Eds.), Online counseling: A handbook for mental health professionals (pp. 51–68). San Diego, CA: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Grunwald, M., & Busse, J. C. (2003). Online consulting service for eating disorders— Analysis and perspectives. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 19, 469–477.
- Hall, G. (2006). Teens and technology: Preparing for the future. *New Directions for Youth Development*, 111, 41–52.
- Hall, P. (2004). Online psychosexual therapy: A summary of pilot study findings. *Sexual and Relationship Therapy*, 19, 167–178.
- Hardeman, W., Griffin, S., Johnston, M., Kinmonth, A. L., & Wareham, N. J. (2000). Interventions to prevent weight gain: A systematic review of psychological models and behaviour change methods. *International Journal of Obesity*, 24, 131–143.

- *Harvey-Berino, J., Pintauro, S., Buzzell, P., DiGiulio, M., Gold, B. C, Moldovan, C., et al. (2002). Does using the Internet facilitate the maintenance of weight loss? *International Journal of Obesity*, *26*, 1254–1260.
- *Harvey-Berino, J., Pintauro, S., Buzzell, P., & Gold, E. C. (2004). Effect of Internet support on the long-term maintenance of weight loss. *Obesity Research*, *12*, 320–329.
- *Hasson, D., Anderberg, U. M., Theorell, T., & Arnetz, B. B. (2005). Psychophysiological effects of a web-based stress management system: A prospective, randomized controlled intervention study of IT and media workers. *BMC Public Health*, 5, 78 [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://www.biomedcentral. com/1471-2458/5/78.
- Haythornthwaite, C., & Hagar, C. (2004). The social worlds of the web. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 39, 311–346.
- Hedges, L. V., & Olkin, I. (1985). *Statistical methods for meta-analysis*. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Hicks, C. L., von Baeyer, C. L., & McGrath, P. J. (2006). Online psychological treatment for pediatric recurrent pain: A randomized evaluation. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 31, 724–736.
- Hill, C. E., & Lambert, M. J. (2004). Methodological issues in studying psychotherapy processes and outcomes. In M. J. Lambert (Ed.), *Bergin and Garfield handbook of psychotherapy and behavior change* (5th ed.; pp. 84–135). New York: Wiley.
- Hill, W., Weinert, C., & Cudney, S. (2006). Influence of a computer intervention on the psychological status of chronically ill rural women: Preliminary results. *Nursing Research*, 55, 34–42.
- *Hirai, M., & Clum, G. A. (2006a). An Internet-based self-change program for traumatic event related fear, distress, and maladaptive coping. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 18, 631–636.
- Hirai, M., & Clum, G. A. (2006b). A meta-analytic study of self-help interventions for anxiety problems. *Behavior Therapy*, 37, 99–111.
- Hoffmann, W. A. (2006). Telematic technologies in mental health caring: A web-based psychoeducational program for adolescent suicide survivors. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 27, 461–474.
- *Hopps, S. L., Pépin, M., & Boisvert, J. M. (2003). The effectiveness of cognitivebehavioral group therapy for loneliness via inter-relay-chat among people with physical disabilities. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training, 40*, 136–147.
- Hsiung, R. C. (Ed.) (2002). *Etherapy: Case studies, guiding principles, and the clinical potential of the Internet*. New York: Norton.
- Jedlicka, D., & Jennings, G. (2001). Marital therapy on the Internet. *Journal of Technology in Counseling*, 2(1) [online]. Retrieved August 1, 2007, from http://jtc.colstate.edu/vol2_1/Marital.htm.
- *Kenardy, J., McCafferty, K., & Rosa, V. (2003). Internet-delivered indicated prevention for anxiety disorders: A randomized controlled trial. *Behavioural & Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 31, 279–289.

- *Kenardy, J., McCafferty, K., & Rosa, V. (2006). Internet-delivered indicated prevention for anxiety disorders: Six-month follow-up. *Clinical Psychologist*, 10, 39–42.
- *Kenwright, M., Marks, I. M., Gega, L., & Mataix, D. (2004). Computer-aided selfhelp for phobia/panic via Internet at home study. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 184, 448–449.
- King, R., Bambling, M., Lloyd, C., Gomurra, R., Smith, S., Reid, W., et al. (2006). Online counselling: The motives and experiences of young people who choose the Internet instead of face to face or telephone counselling. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, 6, 169–174.
- *Klein, B., & Richards, J. C. (2001). A brief Internet-based treatment for panic disorder. *Behavioural & Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 29, 113–117.
- *Klein, B., Richards, J. C., & Austin, D. W. (2006). Efficacy of Internet therapy for panic disorder. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 37, 213–238.
- Kraus, R., Zack, J., & Stricker, G. (Eds.) (2004). Online counseling: A handbook for mental health professionals. San Diego, CA: Elsevier Academic Press.
- *Kypri, K., & McAnally, H. M. (2005). Randomized controlled trial of a web-based primary care intervention for multiple health risk behaviors. *Preventive Medicine*, 41, 761–766.
- *Kypri, K., Saunders, J. B., Williams, S. M., McGee, R. O., Langley, J. D., Cashell-Smith, M. L., et al. (2004). Web-based screening and brief intervention for hazardous drinking: A double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Addiction*, 99, 1410–1417.
- Lambert, M. J., & Ogles, B. M. (2004). The efficacy and effectiveness of psychotherapy. In M. J. Lambert (Ed.), *Bergin and Garfield handbook of psychotherapy and behavior change* (5th ed.; pp. 139–193). New York: Wiley.
- *Lange, A., Rietdijk, D., Hudcovicova, M., van de Ven, J.-P., Schrieken, B., & Emmelkamp, P. M. G. (2003). Interpay: A controlled randomized trial of the standardized treatment of posttraumatic stress through the Internet. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*, 71, 901–909.
- *Lange, A., Schieken, B., van de Ven, J., Bredeweg, B., Emmelkamp, P. M. G., van der Kolk, J., et al. (2000). "Interapy": The effects of a short protocolled treatment of posttraumatic stress and pathological grief through the Internet. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 28, 175–192. [this article provides findings also reported in Lange, A., van de Ven, J-P. Q. R., Schrieken, B. A. L., Bredeweg, B., & Emmelkamp, P. M. G. (2000). Internet-mediated, protocol-driven treatment of psychological dysfunction. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 6, 15–21.]
- Leibert, T., Archer, J. Jr., Munson, J., & York, G. (2006). An exploratory study of client perceptions of Internet counseling and the therapeutic alliance. *Journal of Mental Health Counseling*, 28, 69–83.
- *Lenert, L., Muñoz, R. F., Perez, J. E., & Bansod, A. (2004). Automated e-mail messaging as a tool for improving quit rates in an Internet smoking cessation intervention. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 11, 235–240.

- Lester, D. (2006). Etherapy: Caveats from experiences with telephone therapy. *Psychological Reports*, 99, 894–896.
- Lewis, J., Coursol, D., & Herting, W. (2004). Researching the cybercounseling process: A study of the client and counselor experience. In J. W. Bloom & G. R. Walz (Eds.), *Cybercounseling & cyberlearning: An encore* (pp. 307–325). Alexandria, VA: American Counseling Association.
- *Lieberman, D. Z. (2003). An automated treatment for jet lag delivered through the Internet. *Psychiatric Services*, *54*, 394–396.
- ^{*}Lieberman, M. A., Winzelberg, A., Golant, M., Wakahiro, M., DiMinno, M., Aminoff, M., et al. (2005). Online support groups for Parkinson's patients: A pilot study of effectiveness. *Social Work in Health Care*, *42*(2), 23–38.
- Lorig, K. R., Ritter, P. L., Laurent, D. D., & Plant, K. (2006). Internet-based chronic disease self-management: A randomized trial. *Medical Care*, 44, 964–971.
- Luborsky, L., Diguer, L., Seligman, D. A., Rosenthal, R., Krause, E. D., Johnson, S., et al. (1999). The Researcher's Own Therapy Allegiances: A "Wild Card" in Comparisons of Treatment Efficacy. *Clinical Psychology: Science & Practice*, 6, 95–106.
- Luborsky, L., Rosenthal, R., Diguer, L., Andrusyna, T. P., Berman, J. S., Levitt, J. T., et al. (2002). The dodo bird verdict is alive and well-Mostly. *Clinical Psychology: Science & Practice*, 9, 2–12.
- Luce, K. H., Winzelberg, A. J., Zabinski, M. F., & Osborne, M. I. (2003). Internetdelivered psychological interventions for body image dissatisfaction and disordered eating. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 40, 148–154.
- Madden, M. (2006). *Internet penetration and impact*. Pew Internet & American Life Project [online]. Retrieved September 20, 2007, from http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Internet_Impact.pdf.
- Mallen, M. J., Day, S. X., & Green, M. A. (2003). Online versus face-to-face conversation: An examination of relational and discourse variables. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 40, 155–163.
- Mallen, M. J., Vogel, D. L., & Rochlen, A. B. (2005). The practical aspects of online counseling: Ethics, training, technology, and competency. *The Counseling Psychol*ogist, 33, 776–818.
- Mallen, M. J., Vogel, D. L., Rochlen, A. B., & Day, S. X. (2005). Online counseling: Reviewing the literature from a counseling psychology framework. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 33, 819–871.
- Mangunkusumo, R. T., Brug, J., Duisterhout, J. S., de Koning, H. J., & Raat, H. (2007). Feasibility, acceptability, and quality of Internet-administered adolescent health promotion in a preventive-care setting. *Health Education Research*, 22, 1–13.
- Marks, I. M., Cavanagh, K., & Gega, L. (2007). *Hands-on help: Computer-aided psychotherapy*. Florence, NY: Taylor & Francis.
- Marziali, E., & Donahue, P. (2006). Caring for others: Internet video-conferencing group intervention for family caregivers of older adults with neurodegenerative disease. *The Gerontologist*, 46, 398–403.

- *Moore, M. J., Soderquist, J., & Werch, C. F. (2005). Feasibility and efficacy of a binge drinking prevention intervention for college students delivered via the Internet versus postal mail. *Journal of American College Health*, *54*, 38–44.
- Nelson, E., Barnard, M., & Cain, S. (2006). Feasibility of telemedicine intervention for childhood depression. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, 6, 191–195.
- *O'Kearney, R., Gibson, M., Christensen, H., & Griffiths, K. M. (2006). Effects of a cognitive-behavioural Internet program on depression, vulnerability to depression and stigma in adolescent males: A school-based controlled trial. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 35, 43–54.
- *Owen, J. E., Klapow, J. C., Roth, D. L., Shuster, J. L., & Bellis, J. (2005). Randomized pilot of a self-guided Internet coping group for women with early-stage breast cancer. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, *30*, 54–64.
- *Patten, S. B. (2003). Prevention of depressive symptoms through the use of distance technologies. *Psychiatric Services*, *54*, 396–398.
- Peterson, M. R., & Beck, R. L. (2003). E-mail as an adjunctive tool in psychotherapy: Response and responsibility. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, 57, 167–181.
- Polzien, K. M., Jakicic, J. M., Tate, D. F., & Otto, A. D. (2007). The efficacy of a technology-based system in a short-term behavioral weight loss intervention. *Obesity*, 15, 825–830.
- Przeworski, A., & Newman, M. G. (2004). Palmtop computer-assisted group therapy for social phobia. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60, 179–188.
- Pull, C. B. (2006). Self-help Internet interventions for mental disorders. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 19, 50–53.
- Rees, C. S., & Stone, S. (2005). Therapeutic alliance in face-to-face versus videoconferenced psychotherapy. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 36, 649–653.
- Reynolds, D. J., Jr., Stiles, W. B., & Grohol, J. M. (2006). An investigation of session impact and alliance in Internet based psychotherapy: Preliminary results. *Counseling and Psychotherapy Research*, 6, 164–168.
- *Richards, J. C., & Alvarenga, M. E. (2002). Extension and replication of an Internet-based treatment program for panic disorder. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 31(5), 41–47.
- *Richards, J. C., Klein, B., & Austin, D. W. (2006). Internet cognitive behavioural therapy for panic disorder: Does the inclusion of stress management information improve end-state functioning? *Clinical Psychologist*, 10, 2–15.
- Ritterband, L. M., Cox, D. J., Gordon, T. L., Borowitz, S. M., Kovatchev, B. P., Walker, L. S., et al. (2006). Examining the added value of audio, graphics, and interactivity in an Internet intervention for pediatric encopresis. *Children's Health Care*, 35, 47–59.
- *Ritterband, L. M., Cox, D. J., Walker, L. S., Kovatchev, B., McKnight, L., Patel, K., et al. (2003). An Internet intervention as adjunctive therapy for pediatric encopresis. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*, *71*, 910–917.
- Ritterband, L. M., Gonder-Frederick, L. A., Cox, D. J., Clifton, A. D., West, R. W., & Borowitz, S. M. (2003). Internet interventions: In review, in use, and into the future. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 34, 527–534.

156

- *Robinson, P. H., & Serfaty, M. A. (2001). The use of e-mail in the identification bulimia nervosa and its treatment. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 9, 182–193. [Adjunct analyses reported in part also at: Robinson, P., & Serfaty, M. (2003). Computers, e-mail and therapy in eating disorders. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 11, 210–221.]
- Rochlen, A. B., Land, L. N., & Wong, Y. J. (2004). Male restrictive emotionality and evaluations of online versus face-to-face counseling. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 5, 190–200.
- Rosenthal, R. (1984). *Meta-analytic procedures for social research*. London, UK: Sage.
- *Rothert, K., Strecher, V. J., Doyle, L. A., Caplan, W. M., Joyce, J. S., Jimison, H. B., et al. (2006). Web-based weight management programs in an integrated health care setting: A randomized, controlled trial. *Obesity Research*, 14, 266–272.
- Sander, F. M. (1999). Couples group therapy conducted via computer-mediated communication: A preliminary case study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 12, 301–312.
- Sassenberg, K., & Jonas, K. J. (2007). Attitude change and social influence on the net. In A. Joinson, K. McKenna, T. Postmes, & U. Reips (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook* of *Internet psychology* (pp. 273–289). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- *Schneider, A. J., Mataix-Cols, D., Marks, I. M., & Bachofen, M. (2005). Internetguided self-help with or without exposure therapy for phobic and panic disorders—A randomised controlled trial. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 74, 154–164.
- Seligman, M. E. P. (1995). The effectiveness of psychotherapy: The Consumer Reports study. American Psychologist, 50, 965–974.
- Shapira, N., Barak, A., & Gal, I. (2007). Promoting older adults' well-being through Internet training and use. *Aging & Mental Health*, 11, 477–484.
- Shaw, K., O'Rourke, P., Del Mar, C., & Kenardy, J. (2007). Psychological interventions for overweight or obesity. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD003818. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003818.pub2.
- Simpson, S. (2003). Video counselling and psychotherapy in practice. In S. Goss & K. Anthony (Eds.), *Technology in counselling and psychotherapy: A practitioner's guide* (pp. 109–128). Houndmills, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Skinner, A. E. G., & Latchford, G. (2006). Attitudes to counselling via the Internet: A comparison between in-person counselling clients and Internet support group users. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, 6, 158–163.
- Skinner, A., & Zack, J. S. (2004). Counseling and the Internet. American Behavioral Scientist, 48, 434–446.
- Smith, M. L., & Glass, G. V. (1977). Meta-analysis of psychotherapy outcome studies. American Psychologist, 32, 752–760.
- Smith, M. L., Glass, G. V., & Miller, T. I. (1980). *The benefits of psychotherapy*. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press.
- Spek, V., Cuijpers, P., Nyklicek, I., Riper, H., Keyzer, J., & Pop, V. (2007). Internetbased cognitive behaviour therapy for symptoms of depression and anxiety: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 37, 319–328.

- Spence, S. H., Holmes, J. M., March, S., & Lipp, O. V. (2006). The feasibility and outcome of clinic plus Internet delivery of cognitive-behavior therapy for childhood anxiety. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 74, 614–621.
- Squires, D. D., & Hester, R. K. (2004). Using technical innovations in clinical practice: The Drinker's Check-Up software program. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60, 159–169.
- Stofle, G. S. (2002). Chat room therapy. In R. C. Hsiung (Ed.), *eTherapy: Case studies, guiding principles and the clinical potential of the Internet* (pp. 92–135). New York: Norton.
- Strecher, V. (2007). Internet methods for delivering behavioral and health-related interventions (eHealth). *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *3*, 53–76.
- *Strecher, V. J., Shiffman, S., & West, R. (2005). Randomized controlled trial of a Web-based computer-tailored smoking cessation program as a supplement to nicotine patch therapy. *Addiction*, *100*, 682–688.
- *Ström, L., Pattersson, R., & Andersson, G. (2000). A controlled trial of recurrent headache conducted via the Internet. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 722–727.
- *Ström, L., Pattersson, R., & Andersson, G. (2004). Internet-based treatment for insomnia: A controlled evaluation. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 72, 113–120.
- Suler, J. (2000). Psychotherapy in cyberspace: A 5-dimensional model of online and computer-mediated psychotherapy. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, *3*, 151–159.
- Suler, J. (2004). The psychology of text relationships. In R. Kraus, J. Zack & G. Stricker (Eds.), Online counseling: A handbook for mental health professionals (pp. 19–50). San Diego, CA: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Suler, J. (2008). Cybertherapeutic theory and techniques. In A. Barak (Ed.), Psychological aspects of cyberspace: Theory, research, applications, 102–128. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- *Swartz, L. H. G., Noell, J. W., Schroeder, S. W., & Ary, D. V. (2006). A randomised control study of a fully automated Internet based smoking cessation programme. *Tobacco Control*, 15, 7–12.
- *Tate, D. F., Jackvony, E. H., & Wing, R. R. (2003). Effects of Internet behavioral counseling on weight loss in adults at risk for type 2 diabetes. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 289, 1833–1836.
- *Tate, D. F., Wing, R. R., & Winett, R. A. (2001). Using Internet technology to deliver a behavioral weight loss program. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 285, 1172–1177.
- Tate, D. F., & Zabinski, M. F. (2004). Computer and Internet applications for psychological treatment: Update for clinicians. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 60, 209–220.
- Trepal, H., Haberstroh, S., Duffey, T., & Evans, M. (2007). Considerations and strategies for teaching online counseling skills: Establishing relationships in cyberspace. *Counselor Education & Supervision*, *46*, 266–279.

- Tyler, J. M., & Sabella, R. A. (2003). Using technology to improve counseling practice: A primer for the 21st century. Alexandria, VA: American Counseling Association.
- Valkenburg, P. M., & Peter, J. (2007). Preadolescents' and adolescents' online communication and their closeness to friends. *Developmental Psychology*, 43, 267–277.
- Voyer, D., Voyer, S., & Bryden, M. P. (1995). Magnitude of sex differences in spatial abilities: A meta-analysis and consideration of critical variables. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117, 250–270.
- *Wade, S. L., Wolfe, C., Brown, T. M., & Pestian, J. P. (2005). Putting the pieces together: Preliminary efficacy of a web-based family intervention for children with traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 30, 437–442. (60) [This article reports of findings published also in Wade, S. L., Wolfe, C. R., Maines Brown, T., & Pestian, J. P. (2005). Can a web-based family problem-solving intervention work for children with traumatic brain injury? *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 50, 337–345.]
- Walters, S. T., Miller, E., & Chiauzzi, E. (2005). Wired for wellness: e-Interventions for addressing college drinking. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 29, 139–145.
- Walters, S. T., Wright, J. A., & Shegog, R. (2006). A review of computer and Internet-based interventions for smoking behavior. *Addictive Behaviors*, 31, 264–277.
- Wampold, B. E. (2001). *The great psychotherapy debate: Models, methods, and findings.* Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Wampold, B. E., Mondin, G. W., Moody, M., Stich, F., Benson, K., & Ahn, H.-N. (1997). A meta-analysis of outcome studies comparing bona fide psychotherapies: Empirically, "all must have prizes." *Psychological Bulletin*, 122, 203–215.
- Wantland, D. J., Portillo, C. J., Holzemer, W. L., Slaughter, R., & McGhee, E. M. (2004). The effectiveness of web-based vs. non-web-based interventions: A metaanalysis of behavioral change outcomes. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 6(4:e40) [online]. Retrieved December 15, 2006, from http://www.jmir.org/ 2004/4/e40/.
- Weinstein, P. K. (2006). A review of weight loss programs delivered via the Internet. Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 21, 251–258.
- Wells, M., Mitchell, K. J., Finkelhor, D., & Becker-Blease, K. A. (2007). Online mental health treatment: Concerns and considerations. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 10, 453–459.
- *White, M. A., Martin, P. D., Newton, R. L., Walden, H. M., York-Crowe, E. E., Gordon, S. T., et al. (2004). Mediators of weight loss in a family-based intervention presented over the Internet. *Obesity Research*, *12*, 1050–1059. [This article provides findings published also in Williamson, D. A., Davis Martin, P., Whito, M. A., Newton, R., Walden, H., York Crowe, E., et al. (2005). Efficacy of an Internet-based behavioral weight loss program for overweight adolescent African-American girls. *Eating and Weight Disorders*, *10*, 193–203.]
- *Winzelberg, A. J., Eppstein, D., Eldredge, K. L., Wilfley, D., Dasmahapatra, R., Dev, P., et al. (2000). Effectiveness of an Internet-based program for reducing risk

factors for eating disorders. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 68, 346–350.

- *Womble, L. G., Wadden, T. A., McGuckin, B. G., Sargent, S. L., Rothman, R. A., & Krauthamer-Ewing, E. S. (2004). A randomized controlled trial of a commercial Internet weight loss program. *Obesity Research*, 12, 1011–1018.
- *Woodruff, S. I., Edwards, C. C., Conway, T. L., & Elliott, S. P. (2001). Pilot test of an Internet virtual chat room for rural teen smokers. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *29*, 239–243.
- Ybarra, M. L., Eaton, W. W., & Bickman, L. (2005). Internet-based mental health interventions. *Mental Health Services Research*, 7, 75–87.
- Young, K. S. (2005). An empirical examination of client attitudes toward online counseling. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 8, 172–177.
- *Zabinski, M. F., Pung, M. A., Wilfley, D. E., Eppstein, D. L., Winzellberg, A. J., Celio, A., et al. (2001). Reducing risk factors for eating disorders: Targeting at-risk women with computerized psychoeducational program. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 29, 401–408.
- *Zabinski, M. F., Wilfley, D. E., Calfas, K. J., Winzelberg, A. J., & Taylor, C. B. (2004). An interactive psychoeducational intervention for women at risk of developing an eating disorder. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*, 72, 914–919.
- *Zetterqvist, K., Maanmies, J., Ström, L., & Andersson, G. (2003). Randomized controlled trial of Internet-based stress management. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*, 32, 151–160.
- Zuckerman, E. (2003). Finding, evaluating, and incorporating Internet self-help resources into psychotherapy practice. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 59, 217–225.

Received: 07/15/07 Revised: 09/26/07 Accepted: 10/02/07